



How are we doing? Taking the pulse of California's oceans

Dr. Liz Whiteman

MPA Monitoring Enterprise, California Ocean Science Trust



Smart decisions for our oceans



Our Mission - "To advance a constructive role for science in decision-making by promoting collaboration and mutual understanding among scientists, citizens, managers, and policymakers working toward sustained, healthy, and productive coastal and ocean ecosystems"



Objective, reliable and timely monitoring information is used in management decisions for improved stewardship of California MPAs

MPAs: The old days

Protect specific species, places, objects...







Research showed ecosystem declines...

- Loss of critical habitats
- Functional extinctions
- Loss of predators
- Simplification of food webs
- Trophic cascades
- Loss of resilience?



...and led evolution of MPA policy



Source: BVI MPA project

Source: PISCO

- Emphasis on protecting biodiversity, habitats, and ecosystems
- Introduction of systems & networks
- Development of new planning principles (representation, replication, connectivity)



...MPA monitoring often remains unresponsive to new policy goals

Monitoring has not served management well

MPA Policy and Management Needs: Protect ecosystems? Confer resilience? How many MPAs?

MPA Monitoring Delivers: More fish Bigger fish More species

New statewide MPA network in California



1999 Marine Life Protection Act

Designed to protect marine life and habitats, ecosystems, and natural heritage, as well as improve recreational, educational and study opportunities.

The policy context for monitoring



"Are the MPAs working?"

Under the MLPA, monitoring should:

- Evaluate the MPA network's performance relative to MLPA goals
- Facilitate adaptive management
- Improve understanding of marine systems

Making monitoring adaptive



A consultative process



- Focusing on management needs
- Reflecting stakeholder priorities
- Applying the bestavailable science

MPA Monitoring Framework



'How is the system doing?'

'How are MPAs affecting the system?'

Taking the pulse of ocean ecosystems



'How is the system doing?'

Selecting informative metrics



Evaluating network design decisions

ECOSYSTEM FEATURES



EVALUATING MPA DESIGN & MANAGEMENT DECISIONS

- Levels of protection allowed uses
- Placement
- Size & shape
- Spacing
- Habitat representation

SHORT-TERM EVALUATION QUESTIONS

AND

LONG-TERM EVALUATION QUESTIONS

'How are MPAs affecting the system?'

Reflecting management priorities



What are the rates of rockfish bycatch with salmon trolling and do rates vary with water depth?

Are there impacts (e.g., trampling) of increased visitation on rocky intertidal ecosystems in MPAs?



An adaptive monitoring cycle



The first steps are critical

- What is baseline monitoring?
 - To establish a benchmark of ecological conditions against which future changes can be measured
 - To assess initial changes (2-3 years) following MPA implementation
- Baseline Programs implemented in each MLPA region
 - Approximately \$4m available per region from the California Ocean Protection Council
 - Programs are a collaboration of OPC, California Sea Grant,
 California Department of Fish and Wildlife and OST

Implementing monitoring

South Coast Baseline Program:

- 10 projects selected for funding
- Includes academic, citizenscience & collaborative fisheries programs
- Encompasses many ecosystems: rocky shores, kelp forests, beaches, deep reefs etc
- Ecology & socioeconomics



Setting a benchmark of ocean health

Credit: PISCO



Scuba surveys of fish in a kelp forest. Credit: R. Schwemmer/NOAA





Ocean Imaging



An adaptive monitoring cycle



Giving ecosystems a 'grade'

STATE OF THE SOUND . WWW.PSAT.WA.GOV/SOS



State of the Sound's Habitat

The Puget Sound region contains an amazing variety of habitats, each supporting diverse communities of plant and animal life, and each an integral part of a healthy Puget Sound. Extensive development, land conversion and the establishment of non-native and invasive species over the past 100 years have destroyed many once-intact habitats. As habitats become smaller and more isolated, they are less able to sustain the ecological processes necessary to support life. The loss and alteration of key habitats places more pressure on many of the Sound's living resources, from forage fish to salmon, and marine birds to orce whales.

INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	STATUS/TREND	
HABITAT			
Forest loss in Puget Sound Iowlands	Loss of forested lands and corridors can dramatically impact river and stream systems and the species that depend on them. Between 1991 and 2001, approximately 190 square miles of forest (about 2.3 percent of the total forested area of the Puget Sound basin) was converted to other uses in areas below 1,000 feet elevation, the change was more dramatic: 3.9 percent of total forest area was lost between 1991 and 2001.	NEGATIVE POSITIVE	
Eelgrass	Eeigrass grows in tidelands and shallow waters along much of Puget Sound's shoreline. Eeigrass habitat plays a critical role in the health of many Puget Sound fish and wildlife species, providing them with food, breeding areas and protective nurseries. Between 2003 and 2004, eeigrass declined Soundwide by four percent, but has not changed measurably since.	NEGATIVE POSITIVE	
Aquatic nuisance species	Puget Sound has become home to a number of non-native species. Two species of significant concern are tunicates, commonly called sea sourits, and apartina, a type of sait marsh grass. Significant progress has been made in the eradication of spertina. However, the recent establishment and spread of three species of non-native tunicates are a negative trend.	NEGATIVE POSITIVE	

To raise awareness



Benthic, fish, and microbial metrics keep measurements simple





Coral Health Index calculated for reefs across the Line Islands archipelago.

To inform management decisions

Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission, State of the Bay 2010

Coastal Wetlands and Lagoons: POOR with one GOD e Coastal Dunes and Bluffs: POOR with one GOOD e Sandy Beaches: POOR to FAIR depending on locat Rocky Intertidal: Mostly POOR with a few FAIR ex Seagrass Beds: FAIR to GOOD based on limited da Rocky Reefs: CRITICAL to FAIR depending on locat Soft Bottom: POOR to EXCELLENT depending on lo

Creeks and Streams: CRITICAL to EXCELLENT dep

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Outlook Report 2009

ort species

	Assessment Grade			
	Very good	Good	Poor	Very poor
the islands are within protected areas; there is ring on the condition of most islands.		•		
changes in coastal dynamics and reclaiming of ave altered the beach habitats of the Great Barrier		•		
a of mangrove forest adjacent to the Great pears to be generally stable except where cant coastal development.		•		
agrass communities appear to be mainly due to of decline and recovery although influenced by atchments.		•		
itats are likely to be declining, more so in inshore trends are difficult to interpret.		•		
abitats support more than 5000 species but are stood.		3		
nformation about the extent or condition of ireat Barrier Reef.		3		
of the status of the <i>Halimeda</i> banks that occur of Great Barrier Reef but they are believed to be in				

Providing guidance on where to act

Rockfish Reef State Marine Reserve



Title can go here.

Explanatory or extra text can gohere if necessary if not extra text is needed, this block can be taken off and upper area left blue. Depending on the length of each of the descriptions, etc. There may also be space to run across the bottom of the page. Explanatory or extra text can gohere if necessary. If not extra text is needed, this block can be taken off and upper area left blue. Depending on the length of each of the descriptions, etc. There may also be space tor run across the bottom of the page. Explanatory or extra text can gohere if necessary. If not extra text is needed, this block can be taken off and upper area left blue. Depending on the length of each of the descriptions, etc. There may also be space to run across the bottom of the page. Explana-





Page X

Conditions & Trends in Monitored MPAs





How are results produced?





The role of expert judgment



A framework for expert judgment



Piloting an ocean health report card

and trends Marine

State

Focused on kelp forests in California's Central Coast region

Learning from the Australia State of the Environment Report, 2011

6.1 Assessment summary State and trends of quality of habitats for species Component Summary Confidence Assessment grade Poor Good Very good In grade In trend Very poor Gulfs, bays, South-east, south-west and east regions heavily degraded in many places; north region estuaries, lagoons in very good condition Beaches South-west and north regions in very good condition Fringing reefs-East region in very poor condition corals, intertidal and subtidal, of coast and islands Seabed inner South-east and east regions in poor condition shelf (0-50 m) Seabed outer South-east and south-west regions in poor shelf (50-200 m) condition Seabed, shelf break South-east region in very poor condition and upper slope (200-700 m) Seabed lower slope South-east region in poor condition

http://www.environment.gov.au/soe/2011/index.html

Our roles



Building a monitoring community



		Log Out		Search P	
GET STARTED	CONNECT	LEARN	EXPLORE	DATA	
We	icome ILinett U	PLOAD DATA	WORKSPACE	DASHBOARD	



LEARN MORE »

JOIN OCEANSPACES!

On OceanSpaces you can explore and learn about marine life, and how we use and manage our ocean resources. Fishermen, policy-makers, scientists, and others can find the information needed to contribute an informed voice in decisions.

WHAT'S IN STORE



FEATURED MEMBERS



MARE: Marine Applied Research & Exploration MEMBER ORGANIZATION =



Liz Whiteman



Rick Starr

Our roles







Thank you! Email: liz.whiteman@calost.org www.oceanspaces.org www.calost.org www.monitoringenterprise.org



