Regional Priorities for Social Science Research on Marine Protected Areas:

U.S. Caribbean and South Florida

Final Workshop Repor



The Buccaneer, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands August 19-20, 2003

The National Social Science Research Strategy, developed in August 2003, identifies high priority needs for social science information that are fundamental to the planning, management and evaluation of MPAs at a national level. It also recommends practical ways to meet these needs through research, assessment, capacity building and leveraged funding. For the full text of the National Social Science Research Strategy please visit: http://www.mpa.gov/virtual_library/Publications/Strategy_11504.pdf

For more information please contact:

Dr. Charles M. Wahle Director National MPA Center Science Institute Charles.Wahle@noaa.gov

Ms. Sarah Fischer Pacific Regional Coordinator National MPA Center Science Institute Sarah.Lyons@noaa.gov

For more information about the MPA Center Science Institute please call (831) 242-2050, or visit www.mpa.gov



Table of Contents

I. Introduction	3
II. Workshop Goals: Crafting a Regional Research Plan	3
III. Workshop Process	5
IV. Summary of Existing Social Science Research in the Region	7
V. Priority Research Questions and Projects	8
VI. Building Regional Capacity	11
VII. Workshop Participants	13

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Proposed Priority Research Projects	16
Appendix B. Complete List of Priority Social Science Questions	46
Appendix C. Existing Social Science Research Efforts	
Appendix D. Research Institutions and Information Resources	59
Appendix E. Regional Regulatory Framework	63

1. INTRODUCTION

This document is the result of the first regional social science research workshop, which covered the United States Caribbean (Puerto Rico [PR] and U.S. Virgin Islands [USVI]) and South Florida (South of Broward County).

The workshop was held at the Buccaneer Hotel on St. Croix, USVI from August 19 to 20, 2003 and included 29 participants from federal and state agencies, academic institutions, regional governing bodies and non-profit organizations.

11. WORKSHOP GOALS: Crafting a Regional Research Plan

The National Marine Protected Areas (MPA) Center was established in late 2000 by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), in partnership with the Department of the Interior. The mission of the National MPA Center is to facilitate the effective use of science, technology, training and information in the planning, management and evaluation of the nation's system of MPAs.

In an effort to strengthen our understanding of the human context of MPAs, the National MPA Center Science Institute developed the National MPA Social Science Research Strategy and subsequent regional MPA social science research plans. The National MPA Social Science Research Strategy is a conceptual piece that reflects, at the national level, the growing interest in the application of social science information in the planning, management and evaluation of MPAs. The Strategy identifies the following six priority research themes that encompass a broad range of disciplines and address pressing social science needs for MPAs:

- 1. Governance, institutions and processes: This theme covers the formal and informal institutions (federal, tribal, state, local and non-governmental) responsible for managing the resources in marine protected areas. Component research topics include determining and assessing these institutions' respective capacities, funding sources, jurisdictions, management strategies and implementation approaches, as well as the role of social capital in each institution's interactions with the public and other institutions.
- **2.** Use patterns: This theme addresses the ways stakeholders use resources in and around marine protected areas. It includes extractive uses such as harvesting fish or invertebrates, and non-extractive uses such as boating and diving.
- **3.** Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs: This theme covers the underlying motivations that may influence human preferences, choices and actions. It examines the factors that shape human behavior and how these behaviors affect and are affected by marine protected areas. It includes constituents' and stakeholders' social and cultural attitudes, values, beliefs, perceptions and preferences related to MPA issues.
- **4. Economics:** This theme deals with economic conditions and trends associated with marine protected areas. Subjects of interest include, but are not limited to, market and non-market values, costs and benefits, and positive and negative impacts associated with marine protected areas.

- **5.** Communities: This theme examines the characteristics of geographic and stakeholder communities associated with marine protected areas and the ways these communities function, particularly as they relate to the use and conservation of marine resources.
- 6. Cultural heritage and resources: This theme covers the historical and traditional artifacts within marine protected areas. These may include, but are not limited to, nautical history (wrecks, replicas, etc.), maritime infrastructure (piers, lighthouses, locks, ports, forts, etc.), and historical documents (books, photographs, music, recipes, etc.) of MPAs. This theme addresses primarily the physical manifestation of historical and traditional uses of marine resources; their social and cultural underpinnings are addressed by other themes.

Recognizing the need for more detailed, locally oriented research plans, the National MPA Center Science Institute designed a series of workshops to prioritize social science information needs at the regional and local level and create regional social science research plans to address those needs. Workshop results will include:

- A list of priority social science research projects for each region; and
- Tools for building regional capacity through the identification of potential partners and funding resources to promote and establish coordination within the region among agencies, social scientists and stakeholders.

These results are intended to inform MPA managers, agency decision-makers, researchers, funding sources and affected stakeholder groups about priorities for social science research. These workshops are also designed to stimulate and encourage collaboration and coordination within the region among agencies, social scientists and stakeholders.

III. WORKSHOP PROCESS

The National MPA Center Science Institute developed the following process, to be used for all regional workshops:

WHEN	ACTION	WHO
Pre-Workshop	Compile the following background documents: list of existing social science research efforts, list of MPA-related re- sources and institutions, and regulatory framework within/pertaining to each region	MPA Center
	Coordinate logistics: Develop worksheet templates, budget, invitations, etc.	MPA Center
At Workshop	Identify priority information needs (re- search questions) for each relevant re- search theme, across each phase of the MPA cycle	Workshop participants
	Determine strategies (research projects) to address each information need	Workshop participants
	Develop project details for high priority projects	Workshop participants
	Discuss methods for building and strengthening the regional capacity	Workshop participants and MPA Center
Post Workshop	Compile and post/publish/distribute infor- mation for each region	MPA Center and facilitators

In preparation for each workshop the National MPA Center Science Institute compiles the following background documents for each region: a list of existing social science research efforts (see Appendix C), a list of research institutions and information resources (see Appendix D), and a regional regulatory framework with a list of statutes and regulations related to MPAs (see Appendix E). The list of current and existing research is presented during the workshop to encourage discussion about the research that has already been done in the region and to stimulate the participants to think about information gaps and priority research needs. The list of local institutions and resources provides a basis for the discussion on building the regional capacity as it identifies potential partners and funding sources for the implementation of proposed social science projects. Finally, the regulatory framework serves primarily to show the MPA policy structure within which each region functions.

During the workshop, participants address the six thematic priorities outlined in the National Social Science Research Strategy on a regional level. Figure 1 illustrates the transition from the broad national thematic priorities, to the identification of regional research priorities.

Figure 1: Identification of regional social science research priorities



Workshop participants generate an initial list of priority needs and issues in the form of research questions for each theme (see Appendix B), ultimately choosing the nine most pressing questions. Strategies, in the form of projects, are then developed to address the priority research questions (see Appendix A). These research projects are developed in detail and include information such as geographic coverage, applicability to MPA policy cycles (planning, management and/or evaluation), expected outcomes/outputs, challenges, estimated duration, estimated cost, potential partners, and linkages with existing efforts and natural science.

IV. SUMMARY OF EXISTING SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN THE REGION

Prior to the St. Croix workshop, the National MPA Center Science Institute compiled a list of existing social science research efforts that relate to MPAs in the region in order to stimulate discussion on information gaps and research needs. Whenever possible, the principal investigators of the projects were contacted to ensure complete and accurate information.

Figure 2 summarizes the thematic distribution of the existing research within the region (see Appendix C for details of each of these projects). The existing efforts in this region focused on the following themes: use patterns; attitudes, perceptions and beliefs; and economics. Studies ranged from socioeconomic assessment of uses (e.g., fishing and tourism) and users of marine resources to in depth ethnographic analyses of local communities.





NOTE: Some projects cover more than one theme. Of a total of 40 current and existing research projects in the region: 10% are planned, 30% are ongoing, and 60% are complete.

V_{\cdot} priority research questions and projects

At the St. Croix workshop, participants identified nine research questions and 40 projects as priority social science information needs in the region. Figure 3 summarizes the distribution of these projects by the broad research themes laid out in the National Social Science Research Strategy.



Figure 3: Summary of priority social science research projects

Many of the existing projects relating to social science of MPAs in the region focused on the attitudes, perceptions and beliefs and economics themes. Workshop results pointed out the need for more information in both thematic areas, ranging from basic economic information to more specific information about long-term maximization of benefits to increased understanding of variations in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs towards resources. Information regarding governance, institutions and processes, the theme with the third highest number of priority projects, focused on a general understanding of the governance framework as a means to promote effective MPA processes.

Following is a list of all questions and projects by theme:

Governance, Institutions and Processes

What are the linkages among institutions that hinder/promote effective processes?

- Identify and describe communication corridors between coastal management agencies.
- Assess legislative and other formal mandates for marine resource management, between federal, state, territorial and local agencies.
- Explore government and non-government/civil society organizational linkages: formal and informal (USVI, PR or SFI).

What is the governance framework for MPA processes?

- Develop baseline characterization of legal frameworks, organizational structures and decision-making in the Caribbean.
- Conduct a comparative study of MPA governance processes.
- Evaluate MPA governance systems in the Caribbean: factors fostering management viability.
- Determine how the relationship between governance variables shapes compliance in the USVI MPAs.
- Determine which governance structures and MPAs are best suited to PR and the USVI.

Use Patterns

Who, what, when, why, how and how much (as it relates to use patterns)?

- Research traditional, subsistence and non-consumptive use patterns.
- Assess human use: recreation and tourism.
- Determine current and historical patterns of commercial fishing.
- Develop a regional system of indicators of human use impacts to monitor the environmental and social quality of MPAs.
- Identify and characterize land uses adjacent to MPAs in the USVI.

Attitudes, Perceptions and Beliefs

What are the variations in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of different actors (user groups, stakeholders and decision-makers) towards resources, management and other users?

- Evaluate attitudes, perceptions and beliefs (APBs) among different consumptive and nonconsumptive users towards marine resources, MPA management and other users.
- Research community ethnography of a series of coastal settings.
- Explore variations in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of decision makers (national, regional and local) on conservation and environmental justice issues associated with the development and implementation of MPAs in USVI and Puerto Rico.
- Determine the role of recent and temporary migrants in influencing the siting of MPAs in the U.S. Caribbean.
- Develop an ethnographic overview and assessment (EOA) of a Caribbean MPA.

How do we integrate popular knowledge (local, traditional) with scientific knowledge and vice versa?

- Determine local knowledge of MPAs in PR and the USVI.
- Disseminate popular and scientific information about MPAs.
- Drawing on case studies, determine and evaluate methods used to transfer knowledge to local populations.
- Research ethnobiology of marine systems and its role in MPA planning and management.
- Identify the "keepers of knowledge" and describe and identify the tools and skills needed to gather/validate/incorporate traditional/local knowledge at East End Marine Park (EEMP), St. Croix, USVI.

Economics

Understanding carrying capacity and how to maximize benefits from resources in the long-term.

- Determine thresholds of the scope and level of user-group impacts on the integrity of shipwreck sites in the Caribbean marine environment.
- Conduct a paired site study to determine visitor views on resource conditions and carrying capacity.
- Compare stakeholder views of resource conditions and carrying capacity at East End Marine Park and other sites.
- Develop and integrate "unobtrusive measures" into ongoing management analysis so as to constantly measure use and impact.
- Assess the sustainability of harvesting by user groups.

What is the basic economic information on marine resources?

- Analyze the bioeconomics of MPA siting.
- Understand trends and impacts of economic development on the marine environment.
- Conduct socioeconomic study to develop messages, identify audiences, and identify and develop the methods of communicating with those audiences.
- Document the economic value of tourism related to MPAs in the USVI and Puerto Rico.
- Explore sustainable financing options for USVI East End Marine Park.
- Explore sustainable financing options for the marine reserves in PR's natural reserve system.
- Determine the value of tourist demand on MPAs in PR and USVI.

Communities

How do managers communicate, adapt and react to successes/failures with communities?

- Characterize communities and their expectations of MPAs.
- Conduct comparative analyses of institutional design.
- Explore adaptive management.

Cultural Heritage and Resources

Local perceptions and values of cultural resources: How do values/perceptions of values vary by resource type and condition?

- Conduct Caribbean-Florida Submerged Cultural Resources Workshop.
- Identify cultural themes: identify the tangible aspects of each theme, and align each aspect to a particular community value.

V1. BUILDING REGIONAL CAPACITY

The last session at the workshop consisted of a discussion on building the regional capacity to conduct social science research and incorporate it into the planning, management and evaluation of MPAs. Participants exchanged thoughts on the creation of regional networks for information sharing, the importance of strengthening and developing academic capacity, and the identification of potential funding sources. Following is a brief synopsis of the main points discussed at the workshop:

A. Network for information sharing

The workshop participants discussed various existing mechanisms that may be leveraged for scientists to coordinate and collaborate with each other and with MPA practitioners, and for managers to include the appropriate research in their annual operating plans. They also proposed additional information sharing systems.

The existing mechanisms that were highlighted by workshop participants fell into two broad categories: information clearinghouses and professional organizations.

Existing Information Clearinghouses

- National Park Service's Applied Ethnography Program's professional tools o http://www.cr.nps.gov/aad/TOOLS/INDEX.HTM
- NOAA's Coastal and Ocean Resource Economics webpage
 o www.marineeconomics.noaa.gov
- NOAA's Coral Reef Information System (CoRIS) o http://www.coris.noaa.gov/
- UNEP Caribbean Environment Programme webpage

 http://www.cep.unep.org/programmes/spaw/MPA/mpa.htm

Existing Professional Organizations

- Society for Applied Anthropology
- American Anthropological Association

The additional information sharing systems that the participants indicated would be important to have in the future include the following:

- An MPA listserv that would include both managers and scientists
- A working bibliography in EndNote that could be updated regularly

B. Academic capacity

Strengthening the academic capacity of both current and future managers is important to effectively secure the inclusion and use of social science research in the planning, management and evaluation of MPAs. While the Report of the NOAA Science Advisory Board Social Science Review Panel - Findings and Recommendations discusses this need within NOAA, the workshop participants deliberated different methods to increase the capacity at specific sites, such as partnering with local colleges and universities (e.g., University of the Virgin Islands, and University of Miami's Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science). The participants also referred to the National Park Service's Cooperative Ecosystem Study Unit (CESU) program (www.cesu.org) as a means of accessing high-quality scientific research and technical assistance.

C. Funding for regional social science research plan

Research plans accomplish little without funding. The potential sources listed below include grant programs, agencies and offices that may be able to include projects in their annual operating plans, and fellowship programs that may be able to provide individuals to help with research needs.

- NOAA Programs
 - o National MPA Center
 - o NMFS Southeast Fishery Science Center (SEFSC)
 - o National Marine Sanctuary Program
- NOAA Partnerships
 - o The Cooperative Institute for Coastal and Estuarine Environmental Technology (CICEET)
 - Environmental Technology Development Program
 - Technology Transfer Program
 - o NERRS Graduate Research Fellowship program
 - o CZM Programs in Florida, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands
- The Nature Conservancy's David H. Smith Conservation Research Fellowship program
- National Science Foundation Biocomplexity program

VII. WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

Juan Agar NOAA Fisheries Southeast Fisheries Science Center 75 Virginia Beach Drive Miami, FL 33149 USA Phone: 305-361-4218 Fax: 305-361-4219 Email: juan.agar@noaa.gov

Rafe Boulon Chief, Resource Management NPS - Virgin Islands National Park 1300 Cruz Bay Creek St. John, USVI 00830 USA Phone: 340-693-8950 x.224 Fax: 340-693-9500 Email: rafe_boulon@nps.gov

Dan Brumbaugh

National MPA Center 110 Shaffer Rd. Santa Cruz, CA 95060 USA Phone: 831-420-3963 Fax: 831-420-3977 Email: dan.brumbaugh@noaa.gov

Billy Causey

Superintendent Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary P.O. Box 500368 Marathon, FL 33050 USA Phone: 305-743-2437 x.26 Fax: 305-743-2357 Email: billy.causey@noaa.gov

Anthony Chatwin

Marine Conservation Planner The Nature Conservancy 52 Estate Little Princess; P.O. Box 1066 Christianstead, St. Croix, USVI 00821 USA Phone: 340-773-5575 x.207 340-778-7670 x.139 Fax: 340-773-1613 Email: achatwin@tnc.org

Theresa Coble

Stephen F. Austin State University Arthur Temple College of Forestry PO Box 6109 SFA Nacogdoches, TX 75962-6109 USA Phone: 936-468-3304 Email: tcoble@sfasu.edu Richard Curry NPS - Biscayne National Park 9700 SW 328 Street Homestead, FL 33033 USA Phone: 305-230-1144 x.3010 Fax: 305-230-1190 Email: richard_curry@nps.gov

Olasee Davis University of the Virgin Islands Cooperative Extension Service P.O. Box 10000 Kingshill, St. Croix, USVI 00851 USA Phone: 340-692-4053 Email: odavis@uvi.edu

Nick Drayton

Caribbean Ecosystems Program Manager USVI Ocean Conservancy Mongoose Junction, Suite 9; P.O. Box 1287 Cruz Bay, St. John, USVI 00831 USA Phone: 340-776-4701 Fax: 340-776-4703 Email: ndraytontoc@attglobal.net

Laura Engleby

NOAA Fisheries 75 Virginia Beach Drive Miami, FL 33149 USA Phone: 305-361-4289 Fax: 305-853-0877 Email: laura.engleby@noaa.gov

Tom Fish

NOAA Coastal Services Center 2234 South Hobson Avenue Charleston, SC 29405 USA Phone: 843-740-1271 Fax: 843-740-1313 Email: tom.fish@noaa.gov

Peter Fricke

NOAA Fisheries 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 USA Phone: 301-713-2338 Fax: 301-713-0596 Email: Peter.Fricke@noaa.gov Carmen Gonzalez Jobos Bay NERR Department of Natural and Environmental Resources Call Box B Aguirre, PR 00704 USA Phone: 787-853-4617 Email: carmen.gonzalez@noaa.gov sifontecarmen@yahoo.com

Brenda Lanzendorf

Archaeologist Biscayne National Park 9700 SW 328 Street Homestead, FL 33033 USA Phone: 305-230-1144 x.3081 Fax: 305-230-1190 Email: brenda_lanzendorf@nps.gov

Bob Leeworthy

NOAA Ocean Service Special Projects 1305 East-West Highway, SSMC4, 9th floor Silver Spring, MD 20910 USA Phone: 301-713-3000 x.138 Fax: 301-713-4384 Email: Bob.Leeworthy@noaa.gov

Sarah Lyons

National MPA Center Science Institute 99 Pacific St., Suite 100F Monterey, CA 93940 USA Phone: 831-242-2054 Fax: 831-242-2051 Email: Sarah.Lyons@noaa.gov

Mike Mascia

AAAS/EPA 1807T USEPA 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460 USA Phone: 202-566-2176 Fax: 202-566-2211 Email: michael.mascia@duke.edu

Betsy Nicholson

NOAA/NOS Program Coordination Office, Office of the Undersecretary 14th and Constitution Ave, NW HCHB5811 Washington, DC 20230 USA Phone: 202-482-1281 Fax: 301-713-4044 Email: Betsy.Nicholson@noaa.gov Heidi Recksiek National MPA Center Institute for Training and Technical Assistance 2234 South Hobson Avenue Charleston, SC 29405 USA Phone: 843-740-1194 Fax: 843-740-1313 Email: Heidi.Recksiek@noaa.gov

Manoj Shivlani

University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science Division of Marine Biology and Fisheries 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149 USA Phone: 305-361-4608 Fax: 305-361-4457 Email: mshivlani@rsmas.miami.edu

Roger Smith

Florida Department of State Bureau of Archaeological Research - Underwater Archaeology Program 500 S. Bronough Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 USA Phone: 850-245-6444 x.4334 Email: rsmith@mail.dos.state.fl.us

Allan Smith

CANARI Fernandes Industrial Centre; Administration Building Eastern Main Road Laventille, Trinidad & Tobago Trinidad and Tobago Phone: 868-626-6062 Fax: 868-626-1788 Email: allan@canari.org

Ana Spalding

National MPA Center Science Institute 99 Pacific St. Suite 100-F Monterey, CA 93940 USA Phone: 831-645-2707 Fax: 831-242-2051 Email: ana.spalding@noaa.gov

Richard Stoffle

University of Arizona Department of Anthropology Anthropology Building 1009 E. South Campus Dr., Building #30 Tucson, AZ 85721 USA Phone: 520-621-2462 Fax: 520-621-9608 Email: rstoffle@u.arizona.edu Joel A. Tutein Superintendent Buck Island Reef National Monument 2100 Church St. #100 Christianstead, St. Croix, USVI 00820 USA Phone: 340-773-1460 Fax: 340-778-8460 Email: joel_tutein@nps.gov

Manuel Valdes Pizzini

Programa de Colegio Sea Grant Universidad de Puerto Rico P.O. Box 9011 Mayaguez, PR 00681-9011 USA Phone: 787-832-3585 Fax: 787-265-2880 Email: ma_valdes@rumac.uprm.edu m_pizzini@hotmail.com

Charles Wahle

Director National MPA Center Science Institute 110 Shaffer Road Santa Cruz, CA 95060 USA Phone: 831-420-3956 Fax: 831-420-3979 Email: charles.wahle@noaa.gov

Stephanie Wear

Protected Area Specialist The Nature Conservancy Virgin Islands and Eastern Caribbean Program 52 Estate Little Princess P.O. Box 1066 Christiansted, St. Croix, USVI 00821 USA Phone: 340-773-5575 x.205 Fax: 340-773-1613 Email: swear@tnc.org

Dana Wusinich-Mendez

OCRM/CPD Program Specialist NOAA Ocean Service 1305 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 USA Phone: 301-563-1159 Fax: 301-713-4367 Email: Dana.Wusinich-Mendez@noaa.gov

Appendix A. Proposed Priority Research Projects

Governance, Institutions and Processes

This theme covers the formal and informal institutions (federal, tribal, state, local and non-governmental) responsible for managing the resources in marine protected areas. Component research topics include determining and assessing these institutions' respective capacities, funding sources, jurisdictions, management strategies and implementation approaches, as well as the role of social capital in each institution's interactions with the public and other institutions.

Project Title	Description	Hanning Ida	Management depilo	Evaluation Iity	Outputs/Outcomes
Question: What ar	Theme: Governance, Institut	ions (and P	roces	ses
Identify and describe commu- nication corridors between coastal management agencies	Identify the communication windows between marine management agencies describing how successful they are and where they fail. Make recommendations about how to improve, re- place, or create more applicable communication structures (corridors). Because of differences in areas, project should be conducted as separate projects in three areas: Florida, PR and USVI.	•	•	•	a. Periodic joint meeting to discuss, over- see, and evaluate outcomes and effort needed on specific resource issues. b. Regular joint briefing statements to communicate the results of the meetings. c. Free communication between agen- cies (formal and informal).
Assess legislative and other formal mandates for marine resource management, between federal, state, territorial and local agencies	This project would analyze the provisions for col- laboration as set out in legal and other formal documents of a wide range of agencies involved in marine resource management, as they relate to MPA planning, management and evaluation. The analysis will highlight aspects of unclarity, inconsistencies, conflicts, and opportunities.	•	•	•	

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	D per D pers	s Jears 2 Jears 10 rational	on over	0; V Est	001-05 timat	ed C stitu	50-220-200 () tions	005< \$K) and	Potential Partners Processes	Linkages
 a. Ego and shyness, un- based feeling of territoriality, leveling, status and authority between agencies. b. Getting players to play with an open mind (step in the other person's shoes). c. Distance (Florida vs. Ca- ribbean). d. Dilution of focus making the subject bigger than it need be. 											a. Federal environmen- tal agencies (National Parks Service [NPS], De- partment of Commerce [DOC], Environmental Protection Agency [EPA], NOAA) b. State environmental agencies (Department of Planning and Natural Resources [DPNR], Department of Environ- mental Protection [DEP], South Florida Water Management District [SFWMD], Parks De- partment, sewage and land fill dept., police) c. Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs) (The Nature Conservan- cy [TNC], The Ocean Conservancy [TOC], Carib, Coral, etc.)	
											 a. Legal agencies (interpolation of legal instruments) b. Federal/state/ ter- ritorial agencies c. Consultants 	

Project Title	Description	Planning	Management point	tiji Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
Explore govern- ment and non government/ civil society organiza- tional linkages: formal and infor- mal (USVI, PR or SFI)	This project would describe the organizational beliefs, values, social networks, and other socio- cultural phenomena that hinder/ facilitate coor- dination and collaboration in MPA development and management among government agencies and organizations within civil society (NGOs, church groups, fisheries organizations, tourism organizations, etc.). This research would provide government and civil society with a roadmap for improving coordination/ collaboration based on an understanding of these governmental and non-governmental organizations' belief and value systems, social networks, social capital, etc. This understanding is critical to facilitate coordination and collaboration among govern- ment organizations and non-governmental ac- tors in MPA development and management.	•	•	•	 a. Characterization of organizational beliefs, values, social networks, etc. b. Identification of shared and divergent beliefs and values: areas of opportunity and challenges to address. c. Enhanced collaboration and coordina- tion of management agencies in devel- opment and management of MPAs.
Question: What is	the governance framework for MPA processes?				
Develop baseline characterization of legal frameworks, organizational structures and decision-making in the Caribbean	Describe and analyze the existing legal frame- works, organizational structures, and deci- sion-making processes in relevant agencies and organizations at the local, territorial, state, and national levels, in order to understand the capacity and performance needed to engage in MPA processes. This project can be scaled to appropriate geographical and institutional levels and replicated in each region.	•	•	•	 a. An understanding of existing governance frameworks. b. Recommendations for changes to governance frameworks to better facilitate MPA processes.
Conduct a com- parative study of MPA governance processes	 a. Comparative study of MPA governance processes, including territorial, government, national park service authorities, national monuments and wildlife refuges. b. Identify opportunities for implementing inte- grated coastal zone management. 	•	•	•	 a. Description of governance processes related to MPAs. b. Identification of opportunities and challenges to integration. c. Recommendations on appropriate governance processes to achieve inte- grated management.
Evaluate MPA gov- ernance systems in the Caribbean: factors fostering management vi- ability	This project would examine how MPA gover- nance structures/ systems shape management capacity. For example, how might organi- zational structures/governance mechanisms shape capacity for financial sustainability, en- forcement, monitoring, etc. This study would provide managers/agencies with governance innovations that would enhance management effectiveness/MPA performance, through a comparative examination of governance sys- tems and mechanisms.	•	•	•	Identification of MPA governance best practices that enhance effectiveness (e.g., user fees, participatory enforcement).

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	p 2 years	5 years	o Ongoing	02 Est	20-100	р О-250 О	;) ;) so	(X >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Requires support of relevant management authorities. b. May reveal "sensitive" information. c. Requires skilled and trusted researcher(s). 		•				•					a. Universities (Uni- versity of Puerto Rico [UPR], etc.) b. Agencies	 a. Link between organizational mandates (law/policy) and beliefs/values. b. Link between beliefs/values and implementation practices.
Willingness of organiza- tions and agencies to fully participate.		•					•				a. National, state, territorial and local agencies b. University research- ers c. Consultants	This is foundational knowledge that will inform many other subsequent analyses. NOTE: To be accom- panied by subsequent analysis of non-formal processes.
 a. Each governance process was designed to be primarily independent. b. Issues of authority and jurisdiction. 			•				•				a. NGOs b. National Marine Sanctuary Program c. Community involve- ment d. DPNR (USVI), De- partment of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER, PR), Coastal Commission (USVI)	 a. Department of Interior (linked to DPNR, USVI) b. Community involvement (linked to DPNR, USVI) c. Watershed management/ marine connectivity d. Tourism/ recreation.
	One or 2 dep sire scop	e qua 2 yea endi d lev pe.	arter, rs: vo ng up el of	1 yea aries, oon d insig	ar, le- hts/	<\$50K, \$50-100K: varies, depending on desired scope and level of resolution.				(: on	a. Universities b. MPAs c. Agencies	Could be linked to ge- neric characterization of MPA governance systems to identify reforms.

Project Title	Description	denning	Management poil	Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
Determine how the relationship between gover- nance variables shapes compliance in the USVI MPAs	Compliance with MPA policies and regulations can be obtained voluntarily/willingly or by coercion/sanctions. This project compares the economic and socio-cultural costs and benefits of each of the approaches to obtaining compli- ance in the USVI. Voluntary/ willing compliance occurs when user/ stakeholder groups see com- pliance as being in their economic and social interest. The project will examine mechanisms (e.g. community involvement), co-manage- ment, education and outreach, which promote voluntary compliance, and the economic and social costs associated with them. Similarly, the project will examine the costs of compliance by coercion/sanctions by selected methods (e.g. enhanced policing, low/medium/high sanctions, enhanced judicial system).	•	•	•	 a. Comparative costs and benefits of different forms of obtaining compliance. b. An understanding of users' decision-making with regard to compliance. c. Improved governance recommendations. d. Improved compliance with MPA policies and regulations.
Determine which governance struc- tures and MPAs are best suited to PR and the USVI	Review of existing MPA governance structures in PR and the USVI to tease out common themes, policies and practices; to evaluate the effectiveness for each site; and to develop or revise governance structures to better meet PR and USVI needs. The study will focus on decision-making processes, the involvement of stakeholder groups and coastal communities, and the roles and activities of commonwealth and territorial governments and federal agen- cies. The review will draw on existing literature and legislation, interview surveys or partici- pants, and an evaluation of economic, eco- logical and social change. From this baseline, optimum processes for governance for specific sites will be developed as templates.	•	•		 a. Improved governance structures for each site. b. Better understanding of governance framework on the part of managers, user groups and the general public.

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	p D D	5 years	u Ongoing	02> Est	50-100 timat	윤 0 100-250	to 250-500	(X) >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Institutional approaches to compliance (e.g., FI MPA policies are said to favor coercion). b. Voluntary compliance as- sumes partnership between territorial agencies, federal agencies, and user/stake- holder groups. c. Agencies' basic laws may conflict with recommenda- tions for change. 		•					•				a. Federal agencies, USVI agencies b. Universities/ Exten- sion/Sea Grant c. User groups/ foun- dations	 a. Other economic and sociological stud- ies of compliance with laws and legislative mandates. b. Management of natural resources. c. Inform and relate to agency future policies and practices.
a. Complexity of existing systems. b. Little or no economic or social cost/benefit evalua- tion or site-specific projects.			•					•			a. Universities, user groups b. Federal agencies	

Use Patterns

This theme addresses the ways stakeholders use resources in and around marine protected areas. It includes extractive uses such as harvesting fish or invertebrates, and non-extractive uses such as boating and diving.

Project Title	Description	Planning	danagement danagement	tiji Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes					
	Theme: Use Pa	tterns	rns							
Question: Who, wh	nat, when, why, how and how much (as it relates t	o use								
Research tradition- al, subsistence and non-consumptive use patterns	Cultural resource use patterns would describe the who, what, where, when, how and why of traditional, subsistence, and other non-consump- tive uses of MPAs/marine environment. Answer- ing this research question would inform MPA management decisions to maximize success, provide information on interests/uses of under- represented populations, assess dependence/ relationship with the marine environment, contribute to general understanding of cultural beliefs/values/uses/ cognition, and satisfy legal requirements regarding MPA processes.	•	•	•	 a. Characterize patterns and extent of use/ dependence/ relationship to marine environment (temporal, spatial, demographic, etc.). b. GIS maps, etc. c. Enhanced MPA development and management and characterization and success. d. Voice/empowerment of under-represented populations. 					
Assess human use: recreation and tourism	Identification of the players, issues, dynamics, geography, economics and sociocultural influ- ences as they relate to planning and manage- ment of the tourism sector of MPAs.	•	•	•	 a. Description of consumptive and non- consumptive tourism and recreational uses. b. The economic importance of recre- ational and tourism activities. c. Guidelines to ensure sustainability of tourism and recreational uses. 					
Determine current and historical pat- terns of commer- cial fishing	 a. Puerto Rico i. Spatial patterns, spatial variability ii. Compile historical records – establish measurement of representative year. Time and variability might differ by species. iii. Weather/ safe havens. b. Virgin Islands - have trip ticket with area of catch i. Important species ii. Correlate to habitats (PR and USVI have habitat maps). 	•	•	•	a. Maps of use. b. Foster cooperative management pro- cess: increase in compliance and lower transaction costs. c. Informed decision-making on MPA placement, size, and impact.					

Challenges	a 1 Quarter	1 year	d 2 years	2 years	ongoing	05 Est	20-100	р О 100-250	;) 250-500	(X >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
					Т	hem	e: U	se Pc	attern	IS		
a. No baseline. b. Poorly developed methods to capture target popula- tions and activities.	Depends on desired level of resolution/ scale/scope						•				 a. Universities (UPR, University of Miami, University of Wiscon- sin, Duke, Arizona, etc.) b. Consulting firms c. Management authorities (fisheries councils, local and federal agencies) 	 a. Impact of gover- nance on use (e.g., regulations, decision- making, etc.). b. Impact of use on natural environment. c. Change in time. d. Change in space. e. Change in other so- cial variables (ethnic- ity, demography, etc.).
 a. Absence of mapping of resource use patterns. b. Existing data are likely to be unreliable. c. Respondents' mistrust with regard to future use of data. 			•			Dep	bends	s on :	scope	3	a. Tourism administra- tions b. Tourism sector as- sociations c. Private regional, sub-regional, or local consultants as appro- priate (depending on scale)	 a. Must link with comparable natural science research strategies (e.g., report by John McManus). b. Existing effort: regional coordinating plan for MPAs in Caribbean (WCPA/ NOAA).
 a. Establishing representative totals for map distribution. b. Evaluation – larger environmental, economic and social. 				•			•				a. NOAA Coral fund- ing b. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and DNER c. Puerto Rican Fishermen Congress, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, Sea Grant Outreach Program (who will they work with?)	a. Appledorn – Ecosys- tem Puerto Rico b. Benthic Habitat Mapping – Monaco, USVI, FKNMS c. NMFS – DNER d. DNER Coral reef effort e. USVI – DNER f. NMFS – Jim Waters – commercial fishing trap use g. Trap study – USVI and Puerto Rico

Project Title	Description	de Planning	danagement danagement	tii Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
Develop a regional system of indica- tors of human use impacts to monitor the environmental and social quality of MPAs	 a. This project will develop a series of indicators to monitor the environmental and social quality of MPAs. The indicators will reflect the relationship between characteristics of the biophysical environment (e.g., habitat type, level of sensitivity, etc.), human use activities (e.g., consumptive and non-consumptive uses), and management objectives (e.g., habitat preservation/ restoration, education, concentrated or dispersed recreational use, etc.). b. Developing indicators requires: A state of the field knowledge review across disciplines. Input/participation by subject matter experts to coordinate this process across ecosystem types/uses/ management objectives. 	•	•	•	 a. Compile state-of-the-field knowledge for human use impacts associated with various ecosystem types (habitats). b. Use state-of-the-field knowledge and ongoing consultation with subject matter experts to specify a range of potential indicators. c. Select indicators to monitor at various spatial scales/resource types/manage- ment settings/human use conditions. d. Specify standards of quality based on site-specific criteria. e. Perhaps specify five indicators to monitor region-wide; additional indica- tors monitored on a site-by-site basis.
Identify and char- acterize land uses adjacent to MPAs in the USVI	a. Inventory of "land uses" adjacent to MPAs. i. Residential coastal development. ii. Tourism development (hotels, resorts, golf courses, casinos, docking facilities, moorings, lighting, parking, shopping, restaurants, commer- cial tour operations, port development). iii. Agricultural fertilizer, animal waste, loss of vegetative cover leading to runoff and erosion. iv. Municipal services (waste water treatment, wa- ter production – e.g., desalination, infrastructure). v. Sand mining.	•	•	•	a. Understanding of impact potential of adjacent land uses on MPAs. b. Inventory of varied land uses and par- ties adjacent to MPAs. c. Develop best management practices for adjacent land uses (to mitigate im- pacts).

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	p 2 years D	5 years	o Ongoing	02 Est	20-100 imat	윤 0 100-250	요 250-500	(X) >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Indicators are difficult to develop (require scientific data and/or high level of expertise). b. Social science-based indicators to assess the quality of social conditions (especially non-economic social conditions such as onsite experience, satisfaction, sense of ownership, public participation in management, changes in behavior, etc.) have not been developed and/or applied widely to MPAs. c. Monitoring of indicators at a region wide level is tough (limited resources – staff, \$, time), but this is the best way to ensure comparability of data across sites. 	2 ya limi yea imp ong ing pro	ears - nary rs –o leme joing and d gram	- for resul ngoir ntati mon asses	pre- lts. 5 ng fo on of itor- ssmer	i+ r i	\$25 to > dep scal pos hab incl coll 1) h ties, exp ing tors tal a then	ioK- 500 ends ie; if (sible itat t; ude p ection uma / imp poter for e and s n > \$2	\$500 K. C on s addra ecosy ypes orimc n rela n use pacts put r ntial snvira cocial 500K	K ost cope ess al ysten and iny dc ated t and, egar indic onme qual	/ II to vi- 2) d- a- n- ity,	a. Academic – The- resa Coble at SFASU, Taylor Stein at U of FI, Dorothy Anderson at U of Minnesota, Chad Pierskalla at West Virginia University b. Federal/state – NOAA Coastal Services Center (CSC) – Tom Fish, Heidi Reckseik c. Non-profit – TNC, The Ocean Conser- vancy, etc.	Builds upon current efforts by synthesizing results thus far and integrating them into a comprehensive plan for monitoring envi- ronmental and social conditions for MPAs.
 a. No baseline data. b. Large number of parties involved. c. Human subject issues (regarding survey work, data collection for landowners, etc.). d. Covers huge amount of economic aspects. e. Develop awareness of im- pacts of uses (among users). f. Foster stewardship mind- set toward MPAs or explore/ develop incentives for BMPs. 								•			a. University of the Virgin Islands (UVI), UPR, University of Florida, other state- side academic such as Sea Grant b. Federal and local agencies (NPS, DPNR, FWS) c. NGOs (TNC, The Ocean Conservancy, Island Resources Foun- dation) d. Private consulting firms	Territorial marine parks initiative, NPS, General Management plan revision/ devel- opment (underway).

Attitudes, Perceptions and Beliefs

This theme covers the underlying motivations that may influence human preferences, choices and actions. It examines the factors that shape human behavior and how these behaviors affect and are affected by marine protected areas. It includes constituents' and stakeholders' social and cultural attitudes, values, beliefs, perceptions and preferences related to MPA issues.

Project Title	Description	de Planning	Management de	Evaluation fility	Outputs/Outcomes									
	Theme: Attitudes, Percept	ions	and B	eliefs										
Question: What are sion-	Question: What are variations in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of different actors (User Groups, Stakeholders and Decision-													
Evaluate attitudes, perceptions and beliefs (APBs) among different consumptive and non-consump- tive users towards marine resources, MPA management and other users	 a. We will identify all consumptive and non-consumptive views around an MPA and associated with MPAs. b. We will develop a series of comparable indicator questions to determine the following: Views on the MPA – its benefits and drawbacks. Views on the future of MPAs. Views on the different user groups and how they perceive to benefit/lose in the MPA process. 	•	•	•	 a. The APBs of all user groups/stakeholders or management efficacy success and problems. b. APBs on the user groups who identify the areas of conflict, corporation, etc. c. Long term monitoring of APBs and how they demonstrate an understanding of MPA goals and objectives, thereby facilitating adaptive management. 									
Research com- munity ethnogra- phy of a series of coastal settings	 a. Located at edge of sea. b. History of various outside-controlled inter- action-driven large- scale businesses: sugar, banana, timber/forest products = outside influence in community adaptive strategy. c. Boom-bust economic history = occupational multiplicity, lack of trust in authority. d. The first step is to use documents such as ar- ticles - where are geographic communities along coast, how culturally diverse are they. Second step is to get permission to interview village leaders. e. Scoping to find community structure. f. Sample communities and sample ethnic groups. 	•			 a. Identifying organization-grounded groups/communities with homogeneous sets of APBs - link APBs to groups, iden- tify leadership. b. Study gives manager reliable point of contact (POC) with local population. 									

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	a D 2 years	2 years	u Ongoing	05 ≻ Est	20-100 timat	р О.250 О.250	to 250-500	×200	Potential Partners	Linkages
			Tł	neme	: Atti	itude	s, Pe	rcep	tions	and	Beliefs	
Makers) towards resources, m	anag	jeme	nt ar	nd otl	າer ບ	sers?						-
 a. Cooperation from community/groups. b. Logistical difficulties in remote areas. c. Identification of actual users (to reduce bias). d. Development of comparable questions. 					•			•			a. Local user groups b. Commonwealth agencies c. University of Puerto Rico or University of the Virgin Islands re- searchers with linkages in local communities (UPR Sea Grant and UVI Sea Grant)	Similar studies have been conducted in South Florida and none are additional linkages to local stud- ies available (Ex: Jobos Bay NERR gestion am- biental study, 1999). APB information will assist the institutional and management process as well as related economic and user-based studies. Linkages to natural science depend on whether users agree with natural science conditions.
 a. Willingness to trust and participate - want to mini- mize bias. b. Identifying mode of reaching individuals. c. Avoid omitting important groups. d. Multilingual, gender. 		•					•				a. NGOs b. Social scientists c. Community organi- zations d. Village leaders e. Universities	Is there a disconnect between what natural scientists think is the situation and what local APBs repre- sent? You have a big problem if they do not match.

Project Title	Description	A Blanning	a Banagement G	Ati Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
Explore varia- tions in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of decision makers (national, regional and local) on conservation and environmental justice issues as- sociated with the development and implementation of MPAs in USVI and Puerto Rico	 a. A study of attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of decision-makers relative to national, regional and local issues related to MPAs in USVI and Puerto Rico b. The core will be an assessment of "ocean cen- trality" in the APBs of decision-makers. c. The assessment will probe the interaction of the APBs at each decision level and between levels. The information obtained will be used to assist decision makers in understanding the impact of different levels of knowledge. d. The decision-makers will be elected officials of municipalities, counties, and territory legislatures. 	•	•	•	 a. Improve understanding of issues faced at national, regional and local level by decision-makers. b. Comparative environmental, cognitive and cultural landscapes of decision-makers.
Determine the role of recent and temporary mi- grants in influenc- ing the siting of MPAs in the U.S. Caribbean	Recent migrants change the social charac- teristics of potential MPA "host" communities and other stakeholders. This is a study of how migrant APBs influence the patterns of how they use and consume the marine resources. The study will identify key APBs of migrants and define how these influence the preexisting re- lationship of ocean stakeholders. The study will also identify all key recent migrant groups.	•	•		 a. Managers need to know how and why human–ecology relationships are rapidly shifted by migrants. b. Managers need to know the relation- ship between conservation plans and de- velopment efforts that stimulate migrants to come for construction and operation of large scale projects.
Develop an Ethnographic Overview and As- sessment (EOA) of a Caribbean MPA	 a. By synthesizing data provided through ethnographic and historic literature reviews, demographic data, and interviews, this project will provide a synopsis of traditional and con- temporary ways in which people identify with, relate to, and utilize both natural and cultural resources in a given marine protected area. b. This study will discuss tangible and intan- gible links between cultural groups of a specific geographic area and the resources of the MPA under analysis. c. An EOA provides an excellent planning tool and also establishes a foundation for manag- ers to make informed decisions regarding land management considering the protected area's socio-cultural context. 	•	•	•	 a. The knowledge provided will provide agency decision makers with a better understanding of historical resource use. b. The project will generate a height- ened level of understanding and a deeper appreciation of impacts to distinct cultural groups that are resultant from land management decisions. c. The project will identify gaps in social science data that address future research needs. d. The project will facilitate a heightened sense of awareness of cultural attributes between distinct cultural groups of the Caribbean islanders.

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	p 2 years	5 years	on Ongoing	05 × Est	20-100	р О О	00-250-500	₩ >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
a. Sensitivity of potential subjects to outcomes. b. Accessibility of decision- makers.		•					•				a. Universities b. National Science Foundation/Sea Grant/ NOAA CSC c. Foundations	 a. Comparison with APBs of communities, users and stakehold- ers. b. APBs of decision- makers can be linked and related to natural science information and projections. c. Predictability of con- gruence of users' and stakeholders' "ocean centrality" measures with those of decision- makers will assist in defining probability of regulatory success.
 a. Migrants are not dispersed geographically, nor are they heterogeneous culturally. b. Some migrants are in the country illegally and may be threatened by the study. c. Some migrant uses of marine resources are illegal so they may be unwilling to share information on the topic. 		•						•			a. Universities b. NGOs – especially those interested in helping migrants	Social groups that help migrants.
The project presents the need for identifying and lo- cating current data sources.			•					•			a. Educational institu- tions b. Traditional groups and representative NGOs c. Federal, state, local and tribal governments	a. The informa- tion provided in the EOA will provide critical information for other economic and user-based studies. b. Due to historic and contemporary demographic trends between South Florida and the wider Carib- bean, information provided in the EOA will provide useful information to manag- ers of other MPAs in the region.

Project Title	Description	de Idanning	ap Management	Atii Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes			
Question: How do	we integrate popular knowledge (local, traditiona	l) with	n sciei	ntific k	knowledge and vice versa?			
Determine local knowledge of MPAs in PR and the USVI	Using MPAs as study areas, the project shall collect information from local (adjacent) com- munities to determine local knowledge and uses of the MPA. Of particular interest shall be the collection of local names for areas, re- sources and uses, cognitive mapping and other participatory approaches and the determina- tion of local APBs and how they relate to the scientific knowledge of the MPA.	•	•	•	 a. Mapping of areas of use (and local names and significance) and the overlaying of local names with scientific ones (including GIS maps, where applicable). b. Identification of names given to local resources and variations within the local communities (by class, ethnic group, and generation). c. Collection of a suite of names, locations, areas of interest, and issues of concern that can be integrated across the general and scientific community to create congruent MPA goals and objectives. 			
Disseminate pop- ular and scientific information about MPAs	Study of various informational formats (signage, brochures, pamphlets, video, etc.) that can best integrate popular and scientific information for dissemination to a broad range of people.	•	•		Recommendations for suitable formats of public information.			
Drawing on case studies, determine and evaluate methods used to transfer knowl- edge to local populations	Using case studies, key elements of successful examples of sharing scientific information will be identified. The analysis will include, but not be limited to, local vernacular, resource names and/ or descriptors to impart complex scientific prin- ciples and management alternatives to resource issues. The scope of review will include examples such as the Vieques National Wildlife Refuge.	•	•		a. Greater stakeholder support. b. Deeper public understanding of issues.			
Research ethno- biology of marine systems and its role in MPA plan- ning and man- agement	This is a study on how traditional people learn about, transfer knowledge intergenerationally, and cluster knowledge into domains. First, identify the traditional people (that is, popula- tions who have lived in an area for more than three generations) who live in the study area. Interview, in homes and the sea, people about key knowledge about sea plants, animals, tides, and topography. Study should identify who is responsible in the ethnic group for contempo- rary learning, how new knowledge is shared, how traditionally knowledge is transmitted in- tergenerationally, and how knowledge is tested.	•	•	•	 a. Traditional knowledge can serve as a foundation on which new marine science can build. b. All knowledge of the marine ecosystem is useful for siting an MPA, and when measured, can become a baseline for evaluation. 			

Challenges	a 1 Quarter	1 year	p 2 years U	5 years	u Ongoing	05 > Est	20-100	р О О	() () () () ()	× >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Changing nature of human systems, with migration and generations (thereby leading to an evolving ecolinguistic condition). b. Building trust within the community. c. Bounding a community (i.e., where does the community end, physically and otherwise?). 		•						•			a. Local community leaders b. Local community members	Linkages exist with the scientific evaluation of MPAs, in the determina- tion of areas of concern (and whether these exist within the local community), the stan- dardization of terms, and the development of a shared perspective on MPA protection and management.
	•					•					This would be a good project for an intern, or 2 graduate stu- dents.	There could be many sources of data and examples of media formats to use as research links.
The effective interpretation of local linguistics.			•				•				a. Local community councils and NGOs b. Educational institu- tions c. Community leaders and elders	a. Linguistic analysis b. Ethnobotanical studies c. Other ethnographic studies
 a. Traditional knowledge is not expected to be evenly distributed in a population, so need to stratify inter- views. (See: Stoffle, R. et. al. To Know Plants: Traditional Knowledge and the Cultural Significance of Southern? Plants. Human Organiza- tion. 58(4) 416-429). b. Traditional knowledge tends to be guarded from outsiders so need to have time to establish rapport. 			•				•	•			a. Universities b. Local ethnic lead- ership – specialists, scholars c. Agency managers	When traditional knowledge is shared by an ethnic group who has cultural ties to an area being con- sidered for or currently managed as an MPA, the agency is provided with the opportunity to honor this knowledge by integrating it with science findings. This integration can occur when the traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) is used directly in management action, is highlighted in the MPA interpretation pro- gram, and when TEK specialists are incor- porated into advisory groups or given posi- tions as co-managers.

Project Title Description	Planning	Management plicap	Evaluation fility	Outputs/Outcomes
Identify the "keepers of knowledge" and describe and identify the tools and skills needed to gather/vali- date/ incorporate End Marine Park, St. Croix, USVI and skills needed to gather/vali- date/ incorporate End Marine Park, St. Croix, USVI and skills needed to gather/vali- tradition base. a. Locate/identify "keepers of the knowledge tradition basers. b. Develop/test methods for best approach to community differences. c. Develop best method for establishing infor- mation base. d. Conduct participatory research to validate information. e. Develop methods for applying integrated knowledge into management planning.		·	•	 a. Confirmation of appropriate approaches to obtain traditional knowledge. b. More holistic understanding of resources. c. Greater acceptance of management decisions by stakeholders.

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	- 2 years	5 years	Ongoing	<50	50-100	. 100-250	250-500	>500	Potential Partners	Linkages
a. Previous negative experi- ences with approaches to data gathering. b. Fear of misuse of data gathered. c. Building trust and engag- ing marginalized people.	Es		ed D	·		Est		ed C		5 K)	a. Resource user groups/associations/ individuals b. UVI c. NGOs (IRF, TNC, TOC, etc.)	a. Territorial MPA ef- forts b. USVI/PR MPA proj- ects c. Existing NR monitor- ing programs (DPNR, NPS, UVI, etc.)

Economics of MPAs

This theme deals with economic conditions and trends associated with marine protected areas. Subjects of interest include, but are not limited to, market and non-market values, costs and benefits, and positive and negative impacts associated with marine protected areas.

Project Title	Description	da Hanning	d danagement da	tili Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
	Theme: Econo	mics			
Question: Understo	anding carrying capacity and how to maximize be	nefits	from	resou	rces in the long-term.
Determine thresh- olds of the scope and level of user- group impacts on the integrity of shipwreck sites in the Caribbean ma- rine environment	This project would identify a variety of ship- wreck sites in differing physical environments and analyze the type and degree of user-group impacts to the physical integrity of each site. Indicators that are the result of anthropogenic impacts such as anchor damage, looting, exca- vation and resource use that is not conducive to optimal site preservation, will be analyzed for their effect on: artifact displacement or removal, increased structural corrosion rates resultant from disturbances in sedimentation and broken or severed marine growth encrustation, and the impacts that result from the presence of intru- sive materials (marine debris including lobster traps, monofilament and hooks, and long-lines).	•	•	•	The information gained from this analysis will assist managers in predict- ing quantifiable changes to the integrity of shipwreck sites. This knowledge can in turn be used to develop appropriate management strategies that will maxi- mize resource preservation while allow- ing for visitor use.
Conduct a paired site study to determine visitor views on resource conditions and carrying capacity	Comparison of visitor views on resource condi- tions (natural, social and other conditions) in an MPA and non-MPA, and their perceptions on carrying capacity as determined by their satis- faction with, and willingness to pay for, the sites.		•	•	 a. An understanding of crowding conditions as perceived by visitors in an MPA, and whether they are different than those for a non-MPA (due to management measures – for instance, mooring buoys, gear, licenses, bag limits, etc.). b. Determination of a maximum number of users (thresholds) that make an MPA "degraded" or "unattractive", and a comparison of whether that total correlates with the total determined by natural studies. c. Determination of attributes and site conditions that attract visitors, and vice versa.

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	p 2 years U	5 years	o Ongoing	02> Est	20-100 imat	គ្និ 100-250 O	;) tso	>500 X	Potential Partners	Linkages
						Ther	ne: E	conc	omics	;		
Baseline data, which indicates the presence of and exact spatial relation- ship between archeological assemblage components, would be necessary before the actual monitoring of impacts could begin.				•				•			a. National Park Service, Submerged Resources Unit b. Parks Canada, Ontario Service Centre c. National Park Ser- vice, Biscayne National Park	Linkages with natural science coral, fisheries, and submarine geol- ogy studies.
 a. Securing user support and willingness to participate. b. Obtaining accurate information from dive operators (dive logs) or charter boat operators. c. Differences in paired sites that may make meaningful comparisons difficult. 					•		•				a. User groups that visit paired site (in- land contribution) b. Local university and graduate student support	 a. Similar work on- going in the Lower Florida Keys. b. Linkage with natural science findings to see areas of convergence/ divergence. c. Determination of changing baselines over time (as condi- tions change by site).

Project Title	Description	de Planning	ap ganagement ganagement	tiji Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
Compare stake- holder views of resource condi- tions and carrying capacity at East End Marine Park (EEMP) and other sites	Measure and compare stakeholders' (cruise ships, fishers, divers, snorkelers, visitors, manag- ers, residents, non-residents) perceptions of re- source conditions (e.g., fish, reef, other biophysi- cal attributes, quality of recreational experience, equitable access, aesthetics in and surrounding EEMP) and carrying capacity (i.e., ability of resource to withstand use) at EEMP and adjacent areas. Comparing use in and out of specific zones/areas within EEMP. People accessing EEMP via confined access points vs. open access.	•	•	•	 a. Identification of stakeholder perceptions of resource conditions in and adjacent to EEMP. b. Identification of stakeholder conceptions of carrying capacity for EEMP and surrounding areas. c. Identification of disparities/differences in assignment of resource and carrying capacity conditions (definition).
Develop and inte- grate "unobtrusive measures" into ongoing manage- ment analysis so as to constantly measure use and impact	For selected MPAs or marine resource areas, identify measurement tools that measure resource access and use without affecting or influencing user behavior. These tools could include records of usage of specific mooring buoys in coral reef areas, passenger capacity and use by visitors of dive boats, and use of beach access areas. The unobtrusive measures would provide a constant, seasonal record of use and potential impacts which can be easily incorporated into management analysis of car- rying capacity.	•	•	•	a. Better, cheaper data sets. b. Reduces visitor and user contacts and human subject surveys. c. Provides quantitative data for incorpo- ration into analyses.

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	p 2 years D	5 years	o Ongoing	02 > Est	20-100 imat	윤 진 〇	tso 250-500	×500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. No baseline. b. Need for "defined" sampling methodology (for replications, transfer to other sites). c. Use varies temporally, spatially, group vs. individu- al, mass vs. small group. d. Requires a number of individuals for ground work/ data collection for different stakeholders. e. Intercept interviews, mail surveys, secondary data analysis (trip logs, customer information, hotel informa- tion, tax/property informa- tion, agency data). 					•			•			a. Local and federal agencies b. University graduate students and faculty c. Local homeowner associations d. User group organi- zations (sport, tourism industry, cruise lines)	
a. Development of tools applicable to each MPA or marine resource. b. Development of special- ized tools for a certain place. c. Involvement of user groups and community in development and use of the measures.					•		•				a. State and federal agencies, local munici- palities b. User groups c. Universities and foundations	a. Links to state and federal vessel license data. b. Links to the use of "unobtrusive mea- sures" methodologies in museums and other settings.

		ing	gement	ation	
Project Title	Description	Planni	Mana	Evalua	Outputs/Outcomes
		Арр	olicab	ility	
Assess the sustain- ability of harvest- ing by user groups	3-part study: 1) Identify the types of users historically and currently using the marine resource; 2) Specify the user – resource trends historically in time frames appropriate to major resource cycles; 3) Specify the potential of his- toric and contemporary resource use patterns to influence the distribution and abundance. Conduct controlled comparisons of resource conditions under different use patterns and no use patterns.				 a. Trends of resource change tied to patterns of resource use. b. Provide managers with data for supporting some resource use patterns and discouraging others.
		•		•	
Question: What is	the basic economic information on marine resour	ces?			
Analyze the bio- economics of MPA siting	The establishment of a network of MPAs is contentious. A full-blown bioeconomic model that incorporates both the commercial and recreational sectors is needed to examine the biological, economic and social impacts of various proposals.	•	•	•	 a. Model to analyze trade-offs of difficult user groups, distribution of economic and biological benefits/costs. b. Comparative policy analysis of man- agement alternatives. c. GIS maps, building of human capacity.
Understand trends and impacts of economic develop- ment on the ma- rine environment	A micro and macro analysis of the decision- making processes impacting marine and coastal environments. To achieve this goal, the project has the following objectives: a. Identify economic policies that influence the spatial configuration of development. b. Analyze the impact of spatial patterns of de- velopment on marine and coastal ecosystems. c. Analyze the decision-making process of households and firms impacting coastal and marine environments. d. Identify the valuation of open spaces. e. Examine these processes on a historical (temporal) scale.	•	•		 a. Understanding the economic and decision-making processes transforming landscape and seascape. b. Mapping economic impacts and the spatial framework of MPAs. c. Provide guidance and information for appropriate planning and decision-making.

Challenges	I Quarter	1 year	p 2 years D	5 years	o Ongoing	02> Est	50-100 iimat	윤 진 〇	to 250-500	ж (У	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Shifts in resource distribu- tion and abundance may be due to natural cycles and unrelated to patterns of use. b. Selecting resource theory that describes changes like those deriving from current and historical use patterns. c. Some resource uses occur in larger than annual cycles. d. Some resources have decade-long natural change cycles. 			•					•			Combined team of social and natural scientists.	 a. Can serve as a test of Connell's theory of in- termediate disturbances (1978). Human uses may constitute an in- termediate disturbance if they fit Connell's theory and its need for disturbances of certain scales of frequency and intensity. b. It may be that some uses have been sustainable historically but have changed in frequency or inten- sity so they no longer are intermediate in scale. Such use may become intermediate by managers reestab- lishing use patterns as intermediate instead of eliminating them.
 a. Limited or non-existent cost and earnings information and opportunity cost information b. Human subject issues (e.g., user groups, learning about sensitive questions such as income). c. Influencing decision-making, institutional apathy of user groups. 			•				•				 a. U of Miami, U of California, Duke University, U of Rhode Island, UPR b. NOAA Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) c. Consulting firms 	Links with other biological works and anthropological/ sociological efforts to describe linkages of various users of the marine environment.
Assessing an ongoing pro- cess while affecting policies to protect the environment.				•						•	a. TNC b. Sea Grant c. Southeast Fisheries Lab	 a. Coral reef ecosystem studies b. Watershed management programs c. NERRS d. DNER/DPNR coral reef and watershed programs

Project Title	Description	Planning	d Management	ti Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes			
Conduct socioeco- nomic study to de- velop messages, identify audiences, and identify and develop the meth- ods of communi- cating with those audiences	 a. Define message, identify audiences, develop mechanism of delivery. b. Research to understand values (different messages for different groups) to turn into marketing tool. c. Identify key groups to use information to further mutual goals, including improving success of MPA – who should hear this? Chamber of commerce? Churches? d. Determine method of delivery – radio? TV? Individuals? Meetings? Civic groups? e. Develop information to get experts' MPA economic values. 	•	•		 a. Defined messages, identified audiences, appropriate method of delivery. b. General protocol to implement (utilize tools by developing outreach campaign). c. Follow-up survey on effectiveness/message retained (evaluating campaign). 			
Document the economic value of tourism related to MPAs in the USVI and Puerto Rico	 a. Visitor survey to determine links between area tourism and existence of MPAs and natu- ral resources provided by MPAs. b. Develop expenditure profiles based on MPA related activities. c. Market economic values (sales, employment, etc.). d. Non-market economic values (consumer surplus). e. Maintain flexibility. 	•	•	•	 a. Value of natural resource to local communities. b. Value of natural resource to tourists. c. Foster investment by government/ private industry to market/protect the resources (cooperative management processes). 			
Explore sustain- able financing options for USVI East End Marine Park	a. Exploration of all finance options for MPA. b. Select most appropriate options (given legal, management, geographical, economic factors). c. Analyze feasibility of implementation and expected results.	•	•	•	Recommended financing package for East End Marine Park.			
Explore sustain- able financing options for the marine reserves in PR's natural reserve system	 a. Explore all possible financing mechanisms for MPAs. b. Select most appropriate options given geo- graphical, economic, management and legal factors and limitations. c. Analyze the feasibility of implementation of each selected financing option (e.g., if user fees are chosen, do you need to do a willing- ness to pay study?). 	•	•	•	A recommended financing package for PR's natural reserve system (may vary between different reserves).			
Determine the value of tourist demand on MPAs in PR and USVI	Study or link between tourists and MPAs when they visit PR and VI. Also, study through manag- ing value of commerce such as travel agencies.	•			a. Report of study from the tourists.b. Increased investment in government sector and private sector.			

Challenges	a 1 Quarter	1 year	p 2 years	5 years	u Ongoing	0 2 Esi	20-100	е Р. 100-250 О	to 250-500	% >500	Potential Partners	Linkages
 a. Diverse audience – multiple messages. b. Limited resources for delivery of message. c. Establishing trust – human subject issues. d. Coordination of efforts, timing, resisting overwhelming audience. e. Ensuring not to overwhelm audience. 		•					•				a. Universities/ aca- demia b. NGO c. Local point of con- tract (POC)	Coordinating with other similar efforts in terms of inundating commu- nity with questions.
a. Survey sample design. b. Community-based ap- proaches to survey/analysis.			•						per island group		a. Tourism depart- ments/site manager b. NOAA (funding) c. Universities (UVI/UPR) d. NGOs (TNC, TOC)	 a. Need valuation of natural resources. b. Estimates of uses that exist. c. Tied to APBs. d. Development pres- sures.
a. Need to collect existing data about how MPAs else- where are financed. b. Need to find matching funding.		•				+ local matching					a. USVI Department of Planning and Natural Resources (DPNR) b. The Nature Conser- vancy (TNC) c. Sub-contractors for economic consultation d. UVI Eastern Ca- ribbean Center (St. Thomas) e. VI NPS f. Island Resources Foundation	a. Local dive shops b. Fishermen c. Tourism operators d. School system
 a. Need to collect existing data about MPA financing options. b. Acceptability of certain financing options by local community and management entities. 			•				•				a. Department of Natu- ral and Environmental Resources (DNER) b. Local commu- nity committees (e.g., ACDEC in Culebra) c. Contracted econo- mists, subcontracted through DNER – send out requests for pro- posals (RFPs) d. UPR	
		•						•			a. VI National Park b. UVI c. DPNR, Department of Tourism, IRF, TNC	a. Tourism operators b. Taxi association

Communities

This theme examines the characteristics of geographic and stakeholder communities associated with marine protected areas and the ways these communities function, particularly as they relate to the use and conservation of marine resources.

Project Title	Description	de Planning	Management de	tiji Evaluation	Outputs/Outcomes
	Theme: Commu	unitie	S		
Question: How do	managers communicate, adapt and react to succ	esses/	failur	es wit	h communities?
Characterize com- munities and their expectations of MPAs	 a. Identify and characterize communities. b. Identify expectations. c. Identify best methods of delivering information. 	•	•	•	 a. Identified communities of "natural" groups – audience (Inventory). Profile of communities with associated map (GIS). b. Defined expectations of groups. c. Methods of communication – group- specific. d. Suggestions of how to convey infor- mation.
Conduct com- parative analyses of institutional de- sign (How do you develop an institu- tional design that supports, repre- sents and enhanc- es accountability, communication and evaluation? How do you pull together advis- ing members that have credibility?)	 a. Examine advisory bodies; how are they credible? b. What works well? Advisory boards? Community meetings? c. Comparative analysis of different institutional designs around the world that enhance: accountability, credibility, evaluation, and communication. 	•	•	•	 a. Effective method of communication/ community representation. b. Case study examples/ lessons learned. c. Suggestions or recommendations for most appropriate structure for differ- ent regions, geographies, government structure. d. Evaluation of different processes (i.e., advisory councils).
Explore adap- tive manage- ment (How is it applied? Is it effective? How can it be applied to MPAs, and can it be effective with MPAs?)	 a. Study examples of adaptive management for use in communication of flexibility to com- munity. b. Hold up successes, failures, and general examples. c. Explore lessons learned from adaptive man- agement. 		•	•	 a. Examples of successful and unsuccessful processes that have utilized adaptive management. b. Identification of how and where adaptive management is being used: a better understanding of the use of adaptive management. c. Compilation of recommendations for adaptive management for regional/local use. d. Identification of barriers to using adaptive management.

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	p D D S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	5 years	ongoing	02 Est	20-100 timat	р О О	;) 550-500	>500 X\$	Potential Partners	Linkages
					т	hem	e: Co	omm	unitie	es		I
 a. Identifying ALL groups and subsets. b. Overcoming suspicions, gaining trust. c. Capturing heterogeneity in user groups, paying at- tention to under-represented or marginalized groups. 			•					•			a. Universities/ aca- demia b. Government c. NGOs, local stake- holders	 a. Activities/ out- puts are common to proposed projects want to make sure a community profile is not replicated. Make sure these projects compliment each other and take advantage of other efforts. We do not want to overwhelm communities with mul- tiple studies. b. Links well to APBs.
 a. Identifying the examples. b. Diversity. c. Reluctance to be "evalu- ated" – timing an issue. 		•					•				a. Universities/ aca- demia b. NGOs, local stake- holders c. Government agencies	Link to other "case study" projects.
 a. Institutional inertia. b. Resistance to change. c. Blockades at middle management. d. Lack of commitment. e. Lack of understanding of what adaptive management is. f. Uncertainty of effective-ness. 		•					•				a. Academia/ universi- ties b. NGOs c. Government	Links to other "case studies".

Cultural Heritage and Resources

This theme covers the historical and traditional artifacts within marine protected areas. These may include, but are not limited to, nautical history (wrecks, replicas, etc.), maritime infrastructure (piers, lighthouses, locks, ports, forts, etc.), and historical documents (books, photographs, music, recipes, etc.) of MPAs. This theme addresses primarily the physical manifestation of historical and traditional uses of marine resources; their social and cultural underpinnings are addressed by other themes.

Project Title	Description	de Planning	Management op	ili Fvaluation	Outputs/Outcomes								
	Theme: Cultural Heritage	e and	Reso	urces									
Question: Local perceptions and values of cultural resources: How do values/perceptions of values vary by resource type and condi-													
Conduct Carib- bean-Florida Sub- merged Cultural Resources (SCR) Workshop	A 2-part workshop for managers, communities and local users: 1) Week-long orientation to study different types of SCRs and management techniques; 2) Week-long field inspection of various SCR sites to learn about on-site partnership options for community-assisted protection and man- agement.	•	•	•	 a. Shared knowledge about SCRs and options for their protection and management. b. A workbook for managers and communities as a reference and resource guide. c. Field experience with SCRs between managers and users. 								
Identify cultural themes: iden- tify the tangible aspects of each theme, and align each aspect to a particular com- munity value	An aspect would be an artifact or other feature that requires protection from anthropogenic dis- turbance or natural degenerative processes. The local community linkage of the tangible aspects would be defined by the source, method, origin or construction and its value to current and existing community activities, such as recreation, education and historical preservation.	•			a. Site maps. b. Descriptive brochures. c. Continuation of traditional activities and uses (cultural festivals or reenact- ments – living histories).								

Challenges	1 Quarter	1 year	p 2 years D	tars	o Ongoing	02 Est	20-100 iimat	р О О О О С С	;) 550-500	×500	Potential Partners	Linkages
Theme: Cultural Heritage and Reso										ources		
tion? Study traditional cultura	l pro	pertie	es.									
a. Organizing appropriate people, materials, in a suit- able location for part one. b. Organizing field logistics for visits to SCRs and inter- action with communities.		•					•				 a. Florida Department of State b. Florida Keys Nation- al Marine Sanctuary c. Biscayne National Park d. Virgin Islands Na- tional Parks e. Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management f. University of the Vir- gin Islands, University of Puerto Rico 	
 a. Difficulty in defining cultural themes. b. Loss of cultural histories – Misunderstanding of what actual cultural themes developed, but were not maintained by oral or written histories. c. Difficulty of searching and discovering factual information. 				•					•		a. Universities b. Historical societies	a. Link how marine sites change naturally through time. b. Link how commu- nity activity (boating, camping, swimming, diving, etc.) threatens and alters the condi- tion of tangible items or changes interpreta- tion of those aspects.

Appendix B. Complete List of Priority Social Science Questions

Following is a list of all the questions that were developed in the initial brainstorming session of the St. Croix workshop. These questions were prioritized by the workshop participants in terms of their perceived importance for the generation of social science information for MPAs in the region. The number in parenthesis after each question represents the number of votes received during the prioritization process. The bolded questions comprise the final nine questions that the participants developed in detail, which are included in Appendix A.

GOVERNANCE, INSTITUTIONS AND PROCESSES

- What are the linkages among institutions that hinder/promote effective processes? (9 votes)
- What is the governance framework for MPA processes? (6 votes)
- What is the significance of differences between formal and non-formal government processes for MPAs? (4 votes)
 - o Including decision-making, resource use rights/ownership, monitoring and enforcement, and conflict resolution.
- What is the significance of differences between legal and defacto government processes for MPAs? (2 votes)
- How effective were various institutional processes to establish MPAs? (1 vote)
- How do governance variables influence compliance? (1 vote)
- How well do managers adaptively manage MPAs? (1 vote)
 - o How well do they evaluate and incorporate responses to evaluation in their management?
- How are managers influenced or constrained by general management plans? (1 vote)
 - o Does effective management include management plans and responsiveness to these plans?
- Are there formula(s) for effective combinations of institutions including government, private industry, NGO, etc? Rules of operation, actors, funding, etc.? (1 vote)
- How can government processes be structured for engaging different kinds of communities (vs. collectivities) in MPA processes?
- What is the significance of differences between top-down and bottom-up government processes for MPAs?

USE PATTERNS

- Who, what, when, why, how and how much (as it relates to use patterns? (10 votes)
- What are the tools for affecting change in use patterns? (includes identifying existing tools) (6 votes)
- How do we synchronize social science data collection with other forms of data collection? (e.g., landings data) (5 votes)
 - o What are visitor use patterns?
 - o What are cultural use patterns?
 - o What are traditional use patterns?
- What are the cultural landscapes? (4 votes)
- Occupational multiplicity/pluralism and existing values (2 votes)
- How do we assess private households—spatial analysis?
- What are impacts of specific uses? (2 votes)

- How do we synthesize existing information and apply knowledge utilization to this region? (1 vote)
- Are users satisfied—what do they want?
- How do we control use? (1 vote)
- What are the long-term trends in use patterns?
- How do we change behavior? (1 vote)
- How do we increase our understanding of impacts of demand for fish resources on MPA effectiveness?

ATTITUDES, PERCEPTIONS AND BELIEFS

- What are the variations in attitudes, perceptions and beliefs of different actors (User Groups, Stakeholders, and Decision-Makers) towards marine resources, MPA management and other users? (10 votes)
- How do we integrate popular knowledge (local, traditional) with scientific knowledge and vice versa? (6 votes)
- What causes/influences a sense of ownership among the public towards MPAs? (6 votes)
- What are the best ways to explain public benefits of MPAs? (5 votes)
- Does the public embrace the MPA process?
- What and how does culture influence APBs?
- How do we better understand the "squeaking wheel" phenomenon?
- How does knowledge affect APBs?
- How are beliefs and values a foundation for perceptions and beliefs?

ECONOMICS

- Understand carrying capacity and how to maximize benefits from resources in the long-term (9 votes)
- What is basic economic information on marine resources? (9 votes)
- Informal/secondary economies (barter, traditional, exchange); quantify and bring into market. (6 votes)
- Assess how to effectively communicate economic information to communities, decisionmakers (need different strategies for different audiences) (4 votes)
- Assess value of sustaining traditional uses/ cultures (4 votes)
- Domestic (household) economies/contribution of resources to livelihoods (quantity) (3 votes)
- How do MPAs impact displaced users? (3 votes)
 - o How do MPAs impact expenditures and revenues?
 - o Where do these displaced users go?
- Non-use values/non-economic benefits (3 votes)
- Identify non-fishing activities and quantify values (e.g., conch shells for housing) (3 votes)
- Economics of management institutions (identify successful models) (2 votes)
- Evaluate alternative livelihoods/economic options that are appropriate given traditional interests and skills (1 vote)
- Develop bioeconomic models (1 vote)
- Understand economics within the context of ecosystems and governance structures (1 vote)
- Tease out MPA vs. non-MPA economic impacts (1 vote)
- How does level of biological complexity influence economic value? (1 vote)
- Identify best methodology for capturing economic information (e.g., fisheries data)

COMMUNITIES

- How do managers communicate, adapt and react to successes/failures with communities? (8 votes)
- How do we define "community health", what are the indicators and how do we use these indicators to assess changes in community health associated with MPA processes? (6 votes)
- How can communities better participate in MPA processes? (5 votes)
- What are the kinds of "MPA impacted communities"? (4 votes)
 - o Include history, demography, geography, ethnicity, economics, etc.
 - o What are "coastal communities"?
 - o What are "fishing communities"?
- What are the historic dynamics of communities? (3 votes)
- How do communities or parts of communities function? (includes existing or potential conflicts) (3 votes)
- What is the relationship of environmental justice to MPA processes? (1 vote)

CULTURAL HERITAGE AND RESOURCES

- Local perceptions and values of cultural resources: How do values/perceptions of values vary by resource type and condition? (9 votes)
- Basic inventory (5 votes)
- Characterizing/assessing resources (4 votes)
- Study level of awareness and content of awareness (what information do people have/ care about?) (4 votes)
 - How can we change the conception of what constitutes a historic site/cultural resource? (e.g., how do we get prehistoric sites and historic sociological information included?)
- Understand threats to submerged cultural resources (monitor natural and human impacts) (1 vote)
- What are the best ways to interpret submerged cultural resources for public benefit, while ensuring preservation? (1 vote)
 - o Investigate how it can be linked to education?
- What are public benefits of submerged cultural resources?
- Who owns and who manages submerged cultural resources?
- Characterize local vs. established government definitions of cultural resources

Appendix C. Existing Social Science Research Efforts

Existing Social Science Research Efforts													
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned Joued	buiobuo ect St	Complete sotos	Contact						
University of the Virgin Islands: Research and Public Service Office (RPSO), with Department of Planning and Natural Resources (DPNR), The Na- ture Conservancy, Island Resources Foundation	VI Marine Park Project	Includes component of so- cio-economic assessment of the uses and users of the marine resources in the USVI.	Use patterns; Communities		•		Project Coordinator: lgardne@uvi.edu; and Janice Hodge for the DPNR: viczmp@viaccess.net, (340) 774-3320; http://rps.uvi. edu/VIMarinePark.html						
University of Puerto Rico	Coral Reef Ecosys- tem Studies	Assesses environmental and social potential of MPAs. Includes attitudes, values, perceptions and knowledge of stakeholders.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs; Communities				Manuel Valdez Pizzini: ma_valdes@rumac.uprm. edu, m_pizzini@hotmail. com						
University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez; Puerto Rico Sea Grant Program	Inventory, Needs Assessment, and Market Analysis for the Development of a Coastal Training Program in Puerto Rico	Developed an inventory of courses and materials offered in PR related to coastal themes; assessed all stakeholder needs (training) and performed a market analysis that was used to develop a strate- gic plan to implement a CTP in Puerto Rico.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs			•	Manuel Valdez Pizzini: ma_valdes@rumac.uprm. edu, m_pizzini@hotmail. com						
University of Miami	Development of the Dry Tortugas Ecolog- ical Reserve (DTER): Characterization of the Commercial Fishery	Determined current popu- lation of fishermen; char- acterized demographic, social and economic com- ponents of the fishery in the DTER; identified areas of use within DTER; as- sessed user perceptions on the Tortugas 2000 planning process and views on the outcome of the reserve.	Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs			•	Manoj Shivlani: mshivlani@rsmas.miami. edu, (305) 361-4608; http://www.rsmas.miami. edu/divs/maf/icz/manoj.pdf						

Existing Social Science Research Efforts												
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned joud	ongoing ect St	complete soft	Contact					
University of Miami	Linkages Between Development and Political Factors and Protection of the Coastal Environment in the Wider Carib- bean Region	This study seeks to test the hypothesis that increasing development and sophis- tication in political systems (measured by economic, educational, and other in- stitutional and development indicators) results in greater coastal/marine resource protection and therefore, effective management.	Use patterns; Governance, institutions and process- es; Economics	•			Manoj Shivlani: mshivlani@rsmas.miami. edu, (305) 361-4608					
University of Miami, NOAA	Economic Valuation of Marine Reserves in the Florida Keys as Measured by Diver Attitudes and Preferences: Impli- cations for Valuation of Non-consump- tive Uses of Marine Resources	The objective of this study is to determine the value of a non-consumptive activity, diving and snorkeling, on marine reserves in the Flor- ida Keys, as measured by contingent valuation and user attitudes. A second- ary goal is to identify the factors that either enhance or reduce marine reserve value. (Funded by MARFIN - Marine Fisheries Initiative)	Economics		•		Manoj Shivlani: mshivlani@rsmas.miami. edu, (305) 361-4608; with David Letson, Daniel Suman and Kristin Kleisner					
University of Miami, NOAA	Socioeconomic Monitoring of Com- mercial Fisheries in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS): Major Findings from the First Four Years: 1997-2000	This project concerns the human uses dimension in the FKNMS, focusing on the commercial fisheries in the Florida Keys, the effects of FKNMS regula- tions on the commercial fishing industry, and the additional impacts on the local economy.	Use patterns; Economics; Governance, institutions and processes			•	Bob Leeworthy, Manoj Shivlani, and Thomas Murray					
University of Central Florida	MPA Multi-attribute Analysis	Assesses the attributes that increase community's support and acceptability of MPAs.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs; Economics		•		Dr. Juan Agar; SEFSC/ Miami: 305-361-4218					
Duke University	Governance of Marine Protected Areas in the Wider Caribbean: Prelimi- nary Results on an International Mail Survey	International mail survey sent to MPA managers, aimed at developing a regional "profile" of MPA governance regimes. Sur- vey results provide the con- textual basis for designing and translating research into the development and management of MPAs.	Governance, institutions and processes		•		Michael B. Mascia: michael.mascia@duke.edu					

Existing Social Science Research Efforts												
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned	Ongoing ect St	somplete somplete	Contact					
University of Michigan	Senses of Place and Protected Areas on St. John, U.S. Virgin Islands	This research examines how relationships to places and between people living on the island of St. John, U.S. Virgin Islands help shape perspectives towards the conservation and development of natural resources, specifically con- cerning two protected ar- eas: Virgin Islands National Park and the recently de- clared Virgin Islands Coral Reef National Monument. The research is concerned with how people experi- ence and identify with the world individually and especially through shared experiences.										
NOAA, Duke University, and Environmental Defense	An Evaluation of the Short-term Social and Economic Impacts of Marine Reserves on User Groups in Key West, FL	Survey conducted to inves- tigate short-term socio- economic impacts of the establishment of a marine reserve on key users of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary.	Use patterns; Economics			•	Betsy Nicholson: Betsy.Nicholson@noaa.gov; and Tanya Dobrzynski: tanya.dobrzynski@noaa.gov					
NOAA - Coastal and Ocean Re- source Economics (CORE)	Socioeconomic Im- pacts of Marine Re- serves (Florida Keys NMS, Dry Tortugas Ecological Reserve, Channel Islands NMS)	CORE has the lead role in all socioeconomic aspects of the process to establish marine reserves in Na- tional Marine Sanctuaries. CORE's role in the marine reserve process includes providing background socioeconomic information to establish a socioeco- nomic framework for the study area, collecting data needed to analyze impacts from reserve alternatives, assisting working groups in designing reserve alternatives, and provid- ing objective analyses of reserve alternatives being considered.	Economics			•	Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy: bob.leeworthy@noaa.gov, (301) 713-3000 x.138					
NOAA - Coastal and Ocean Re- source Economics (CORE)	Multi-county (Florida) Study of Coral Reefs	Looked at market and non-market values of artificial and natural reefs, and economic impacts on the community.	Economics			•	Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy: bob.leeworthy@noaa.gov, (301) 713-3000 x.138					

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned bod	ect St	complete sot	Contact
NOAA - Coastal and Ocean Re- source Economics (CORE)	Review of Global Coral Reef Valuation Studies	On-line searchable database of coral reef valuation studies. Included abstract of study and key findings.	Economics			•	Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy: bob.leeworthy@noaa.gov, (301) 713-3000 x.138
NOAA - National Marine Sanctuaries Program: FKNMS Research and Mon- itoring Program	Linking the Economy and the Environ- ment of the Florida Keys and the Florida Bay	The overall project objec- tives were: 1) to estimate the market and non market economic values of recreation/tourism uses of the marine resources of the Florida Keys/Florida Bay ecosystem; 2) to provide a practical demonstration of how both market and non- market economic values of an ecosystem can be con- sidered an integral com- ponent of the economy of a region when formulating sustainable development objectives and policies; and 3) to foster the goal of improving cooperative management processes.	Economics			•	Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy: bob.leeworthy@noaa.gov, (301) 713-3000 x.138 Brian Keller, Research and Monitoring Program Science Coordinator for FKNMS: brian.keller@noaa.gov, (305) 743-2437 x.25
NOAA - Jobos Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (JBNERR) and Uni- versity of Puerto Rico	Study of the Social and Environmental Conditions of the Communities Sur- rounding the Jobos Bay NERR	A social environmental profile of the communities surrounding JBNERR. De- termines the environmen- tal risks that the commu- nities are exposed to and presents the physical and ecological environment of the communities.	Communities				Melina M. Umpierre Lopez, Environmental Science Campus, University of Puerto Rico. Carmen Gonzalez: carmen.gonzalez@noaa.gov, sifontecarmen@yahoo.com
NOAA - Jobos Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve and University of Puerto Rico	Environmental Management of the Resident Population in the Jobos Bay NERR	A characterization of the communities surrounding JBNERR, including: demo- graphic composition, edu- cation, history and culture of Aguirre; environmental health; social and com- munity aspects; and edu- cation and management issues. Utilized spatial and geographic analysis.	Communities			•	Dr. Jose Seguinot Barboza, Environmental Science Campus, University of Puerto Rico. Carmen Gonzalez: carmen.gonzalez@noaa.gov, sifontecarmen@yahoo.com

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description Theme Description Theme		somplete	Contact		
NOAA - Jobos Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve and University of Puerto Rico	Evaluation of the Exhibit Area of the Visitor's Center of the JBNERR	Assessed and evaluated the current exhibits, edu- cation and interpretation programs of the center in terms of visitor's profile, environmental knowledge, user satisfaction, visitor's interaction, and quality, in order to develop a master plan for the enhancement of the exhibits.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs			•	Dr. Maria del Carmen Zomilla and Dr. Jimmy Torres, University of Puerto Rico. Carmen Gonzalez: carmen.gonzalez@noaa.gov, sifontecarmen@yahoo.com
NOAA - Jobos Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve and University of Georgia	Ecological Knowl- edge and Success in a Puerto Rico Small- scale Fishery	Explored and empiri- cally tested the relation- ships between traditional knowledge and social/ economic success in fish- eries and around JBNERR.	Economics			•	Carlos Garcia Quijano. Carmen Gonzalez: carmen.gonzalez@noaa.gov, sifontecarmen@yahoo.com
NOAA's SEFSC and Murray and Associ- ates, University of Miami, and Univer- sity of Puerto Rico	Cost and Earnings Survey of the U.S. Caribbean Fish Trap Fishery	Collection of demographic and economic information to analyze management alternatives designed to protect coral reefs.	Use patterns; Economics		•		Dr. Jim Waters; SEFSC/ Beaufort: 252-728-8710
NOAA's SEFSC and U.S. Virgin Islands Division of Fish and Wildlife	U.S. Virgin Islands Commercial Fisher- men Census	Collection of baseline information to support fishery managers' deci- sion-making.	Communities; Use patterns		•		Dr. Jim Waters; SEFSC/ Beaufort: 252-728-8710
NOAA's SEFSC and University of Puerto Rico	Fishing Community Profiles of St. Croix	The survey intends to col- lect demographic, cultural and economic informa- tion on communities that are dependent on marine resources.	Communities; Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs		•		Dr. Juan Agar; SEFSC/ Miami: 305-361-4218
NOAA's SEFSC	Fishing Community Profiles of St. Thom- as and St. John	The survey intends to col- lect demographic, cultural and economic informa- tion on communities that are dependent on marine resources.	Communities; Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs	•			Dr. Juan Agar; SEFSC/ Miami: 305-361-4218
NOAA's SEFSC	Fishing Community Profiles of Western Puerto Rico	The survey intends to col- lect demographic, cultural and economic informa- tion on communities that are dependent on marine resources.	Communities; Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs	•			Dr. Juan Agar; SEFSC/ Miami: 305-361-4218

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned jou	buiobuO ect St	complete soft	Contact
NOAA's SEFSC	Fishing Community Profiles of Eastern Puerto Rico	The survey intends to col- lect demographic, cultural and economic informa- tion on communities that are dependent on marine resources.	Communities; Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs	•			Dr. Juan Agar; SEFSC/ Miami: 305-361-4218
American Museum of Natural History (lead), Resources for the Future, University of Ari- zona, University of Miami, College of The Bahamas	Bahamas Biocom- plexity Project (BBP)	The Social Working Group of the BBP is investigating uses and values (economic and cultural) of marine species and habitats, perceptions and attitudes about these resources and their conservation, and broad patterns and pro- cesses of governance with respect to coastal develop- ment and conservation. Aspects of these compo- nents are being incorporat- ed with biophysical patterns and processes into various integrative, spatial models about the functions of MPA networks (with respect to biodiversity conservation, fisheries sustainability, and socioeconomic impacts).	Use patterns; Communities; Economics; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs; Governance, institutions and processes		•		Dan Brumbaugh, Project Coordinator: brumba@amnh.org, (831) 420-3963, (212) 496-3494
NPS - National Parks Service Social Science Program (Usable Knowledge: A plan for furthering social science and the national parks)	Visitor Services Proj- ects in Florida and the Caribbean	NPS Social Science Program developed a research review series to further scientific under- standing of the issues. The products include a visitor service project that provides park managers with accurate information about visitors – who they are, what they do, their needs and opinions. Park managers have used this information to improve visitor services, protect resources, and manage parks more efficiently.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs		•		Dr. Jim Gramann, Visiting Chief Social Scientist: james_gramann@partner. nps.gov, (202) 513-7189; Dr. Steven Hollenhorst, Visitor Services Project Director:stevenh@uidaho. edu, (208) 885-7911; www. nps.gov/socialscience/
NPS - Biscayne National Park	Ethnographic Over- view and Assess- ment	Supported park-planning mechanisms. Discussed how different ethnic groups relate to or use area. Included ceremonial and historical uses.	Use patterns			•	Brenda Lanzendorf: brenda_lanzendorf@nps.gov

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned Joued	Project Status		Contact
Governor's Commission for a Sustainable South Florida	South Florida Action Plan for Applied Be- havioral Sciences	This action plan was developed as a guide for managers involved in South Florida Ecosystem Restoration - a guide designed to help integrate cultural, social, and eco- nomic concerns into the decision-making process.	Governance, institutions and processes			•	Dr. Bonnie Kranzer, Executive Director Governor's Commission for the Everglades: Bkranze@sfwmd.gov
United States Coral Reef Task Force (CRTF): National Action Plan for Coral Reef Con- servation; Coastal Uses Work Group (CUWG); All Islands Coral Reef Initiative (AICRI); and International Coral Reef Initia- tive (ICRI)	Managing Visitor Use in Coastal and Marine Protected Ar- eas: A Workshop on the Recreational Use of U.S. and Carib- bean Coral Reefs	The objectives of this workshop are: to assist participating states and territories in the devel- opment of 3-year local action strategies for rec- reational use of coral reef ecosystems; to enhance coordination and cooper- ation among Caribbean/ Atlantic region states and territories; and to identify and highlight common issues, goals and objec- tives among participant jurisdictions in order to provide a foundation for potential regional efforts.	Governance, institutions and processes		•		website: www.coralreef.gov
Florida Coastal Management Pro- gram (Department of Environmental Protection, DEP)	Florida Assessment of Coastal Trends 2000	The tool is an indicator sys- tem that provided a com- prehensive perspective of the important environmen- tal, growth management, economic and social values associated with the coast. This system provided a means of evaluating Flori- da's progress in protecting its coastal areas, provided a basis for making strategic decisions about programs and financial resources, and provided information about coastal issues and problems to other decision- makers and the general public.	Communities			•	Lynn Griffin, Coastal Program Administrator: lynn.griffin@dep.state.fl.us, (850) 245-2161; www. dep.state.fl.us/secretary/ legislative/coastal/index.htm

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned Ongoing Loomplete Alanned Dugoing		son plete	Contact
Florida Department of State; Bureau of Archaeological Research - Under- water Archaeology Program	Atlas of Maritime Florida	Statewide overview of environmental, archaeo- logical and historical data about Florida's maritime heritage. Research for the atlas also proved use- ful for the creation of a statewide plan for their management. A draft of this plan, which includes comprehensive reviews of existing national, state and international laws, policies and programs pertaining to submerged cultural re- source management, was completed and dissemi- nated for public review. The plan focuses on the various kinds of statewide resources, explores the ways in which they are threatened, and provides recommendations for their protection, preservation and interpretation for the public benefit.	Cultural heritage and resources			•	Roger Smith, Fl Dept. of State: rsmith@mail.dos.state.fl.us, (850) 245-6444 x.4334; with James J. Miller, Sean M. Kelley, and Linda G. Harbin
Florida Depart- ment of State; Bureau of Archae- ological Research - Underwater Ar- chaeology Program	Underwater Archaeological Preserves	Underwater archaeologi- cal preserves, or "ship- wreck parks," are rela- tively new tools for historic preservation and public education. A combination of heritage, recreational, and ecological tourism at a single location makes these parks attractive des- tinations for residents and visitors. In Florida, there are seven underwater pre- serves in place, and three more in preparation.	Cultural heritage and resources			•	Roger Smith, Fl Dept. of State: rsmith@mail.dos.state.fl.us, (850) 245-6444 x.4334

Existing Social Science Research Efforts							
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned joud	ongoing ect St	Complete sup	Contact
Florida Department of State; Bureau of Archaeological Research - Under- water Archaeology Program	Florida Maritime Heritage Trail	The Florida Maritime Heritage Trail is a collection of interesting and fun loca- tions that are open to the public. The Trail is made up of six themes: Coastal Communities, Coastal En- vironments, Coastal Forts, Lighthouses, Historic Ports, and Historic Shipwrecks. The focus of the trail is public access and visitation. All sites on the poster and brochure for any theme are open to the public, and information about access is provided on the web site and in the brochures.	Cultural heritage and resources; Use patterns			•	Roger Smith, Fl Dept. of State: rsmith@mail.dos. state.fl.us, (850) 245-6444 x.4334
Caribbean Fishery Management Council (CFMC)	Rapid Socioeconom- ic Evaluation of the Proposed St. Thomas Marine Conserva- tion District (1997)	Characterized user groups and sector profiles; dis- cussed issues by group and sector; and provided the socioeconomic context nec- essary to frame and under- stand the organization and composition of proposed marine conservation district (MCD) area users.	Economics; Use patterns			•	Michael Downs, John Petterson, Edward Towle, and Leah Bunce
Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI) (The projects mentioned here are only some of the many social science research experienc- es in the region)	An Economic and Environmental Anal- ysis of Commercial Catch in St. Thomas and St. John, USVI	The results of the study indicated that merit exists in employing trip ticket data in commercial catch analyses for the USVI and that the information col- lected from the trip ticket data can be used to assist managers in the decision making process.	Economics				Walter Keithly, Jr. and Graciela Garcia-Moliner
Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI) (The projects mentioned here are only some of the many social science research experi- ences in the region)	Institutional Ar- rangements for Caribbean MPAs and Opportunities for Pro-Poor Man- agement	The purpose of this project was to identify current institutional constraints to, and development options for, successfully imple- menting MPAs in a way that leads to a sustained improvement in the liveli- hoods of poor people in the Caribbean.	Governance, institutions and processes			•	Leroy Creswell, Executive Secretary: leroy.creswell@gcfi.org, (561) 462-1660; www.gcfi.org

	_	Existing Social Science R	esearch Efforts				
Institution	Project	Description	Theme	Planned	Planned Ongoing Complete		Contact
Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI) (The projects mentioned here are only some of the many social science research experienc- es in the region)	Symposium on Caribbean MPAs: Practical Approaches to Achieve Economic and Conservation Goals: Workshop on Human System Con- nectivity: a Need for MPA Management Effectiveness	This report was based on a workshop session in which the human system and its connectivity, both to the marine system and within itself, were prominent.	Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs			•	www.gcfi.org/
The Nature Conservancy	The Virgin Islands and Eastern Carib- bean Program	The Virgin Islands govern- ment hired The Nature Conservancy (TNC) to de- velop a management plan to guide the future of East End Marine Park. TNC col- laborated with local fish- ermen and dive operators, professionals at local and national universities, and local and federal agencies to develop the plan.	Governance, institutions and processes			•	St. Thomas: (340) 774-7633; St. Croix: (340) 773-5575; Worldwide Office: mpoe@tnc.org, (703) 841-4878; http://nature.org/ wherewework/caribbean/ usvirginislands/
Caribbean Natural Resources Institute (CANARI)	Marine Protected Areas in the Carib- bean: A Tourism Market Study; Evalu- ation of Caribbean Experiences in Par- ticipatory Planning and Management of Marine and Coastal Resources	The Caribbean Natural Resources Institute (CA- NARI) is an independent technical and research organization, which analy- ses and promotes the participatory management of natural resources in the islands of the Caribbean. For more than twenty years, CANARI has devel- oped a thorough knowl- edge of issues related to participatory manage- ment. The results of its research and analysis in this field have been dis- seminated throughout the Caribbean region through publications, technical as- sistance and training.	Use patterns; Attitudes, perceptions and beliefs; Governance, institutions and processes			•	www.canari.org

Appendix D. Research Institutions and Information Resources

Research Institutions and Information Resources						
Institution	Program	Description and/or Mission	Contact			
Caribbean Alliance for Sustainable Tourism (CAST)		CAST's mission is to lead in the sustain- able development of the Caribbean by catalyzing the tourism and business communities and working with multi- sectorial partners, to ensure social re- sponsibility and environmental care for the benefit of our people and visitors.	www.cha-cast.net			
Caribbean Conservation Association (CCA)	The Caribbean Regional Environmental Programme (CREP); The Specially-Pro- tected Areas and Wildlife (SPAW) Protocol; Coastal and Marine Management Programme (CaMMP)	The CCA exists to enhance the quality of life for present and future generations of the Caribbean by facilitating the de- velopment and implementation of poli- cies, programmes and practices, which contribute to the sustainable manage- ment of the region's natural and cultural resources. The CCA has identified the following seven programme areas: Ma- rine and Coastal Resources, Protected Areas, Water Resources Management, Land-based Sources of Marine Pollution, Trade and the Environment, Multi-lat- eral Environmental Agreements (MEAs), and Cultural Heritage.	Dr. Joth Singh, Executive Director: execdirector@ccanet.net; www.ccanet.net/			
Caribbean Fisheries Management Council (CFMC)		The Caribbean Fishery Management Council is responsible for the creation of management plans for fishery resources (fishery management plans; FMPs) in waters off PR and the USVI. The CFMC established the first no-take area off St. Thomas in 1999 (the marine conserva- tion district, MCD) under Amendment No. 1 to the Coral Fishery Management Plan. The FMP includes information on research needs for the U.S. Caribbean.	Miguel A. Rolon, Director: miguel.a.rolon@noaa.gov, (787) 766-5927; www.caribbeanfmc.com			
Caribbean Regional Fisheries Mechanism (CRFM)		The CRFM's mission is to promote and facilitate the responsible utilization of the region's fisheries and other aquatic resources for the economic and social benefits of the current and future popu- lation of the region.	www.caricom-fisheries.com			
Caribbean Tourism Organization (CTO)		An intranet of the Caribbean tourism community. Provides tourism statistics and information, and supports interac- tion among Caribbean tourism compa- nies and governments.	www.onecaribbean.org			

	Research Institu	tions and Information Resources	
Institution	Program	Description and/or Mission	Contact
Center for Environmental Leadership in Business (CELB)		A division of Conservation International, CELB engages the private sector world- wide in creating solutions to critical global environmental problems in which industry plays a defining role. CELB's Travel and Leisure program works with leading tourism companies to integrate conservation principles into their day- to-day operations and to influence the planning and management of key tourist destinations.	www.celb.org
Coral Reef Alliance (CORAL)		The Coral Reef Alliance promotes coral reef conservation around the world by working with the dive industry, govern- ments, local communities and other organizations to protect and manage coral reefs, establish marine parks, fund conservation efforts, and raise public awareness with the mission to keep coral reefs alive for future generations.	www.coral.org
Environmental Defense	Oceans Program (includes Marine Protected Area theme)	Environmental Defense is dedicated to protecting the environmental rights of all people, including future generations. Among these rights are clean air and water, healthy and nourishing food, and a flourishing ecosystem. Guided by sci- ence, Environmental Defense evaluates environmental problems and works to create and advocate solutions that win lasting political, economic and social support because they are nonpartisan, cost-efficient and fair.	Ken Lindeman (Florida and the Caribbean): klindeman@enviro nmentaldefense.org; www.environmentaldefense.org
Island Resources Foundation (IRF)		IRF works with small tropical islands in the fields of management, development planning, information management, and publication production.	www.irf.org
World Conservation Union (IUCN) – World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA)		Priority programs include : Connecting Protected Areas to Social and Economic Concerns; Saving the Crown Jewels - World Heritage; Building Capacity to Man- age Protected Areas.	www.iucn.org/themes/wcpa/ wcpa/wcpawork.htm
Latin American and Carib- bean Association of Envi- ronmental and Resource Economists (ALEAR)	Held the First Latin American and Caribbean Congress on Environmen- tal and Resource Econom- ics (7/9-11/03). Included presentations on social science research and pro- tected areas.	Disclose and support the development and implementation of environmental and natural resource economic instru- ments, in order to contribute to the sustainable development of Latin Ameri- can countries.	www.alear.org/english/ products/congress/default.asp

Research Institutions and Information Resources						
Institution	Program	Description and/or Mission	Contact			
Sea Grant Program: Puerto Rico and USVI		The program's mission is to conduct excellent scientific research in the areas of water quality, fisheries and maricul- ture, seafood safety, marine recreation and coastal tourism, coastal hazards, and coastal communities' economic development, and to apply our scientific knowledge to solve a variety of problems our communities of users face every day.	Dr. Manuel Valdez Pizzini, Director: ma_valdes@rumac.uprm. edu, (787) 832-3585; http:// seagrant.uprm.edu			
Sea Grant Program: Florida		Program's goal is to use academic research, education and extension to create a sustainable coastal economy and environment. Publications include: "Economic Impacts of the Processing and Marketing of Commercial Florida Marine Landings"; "Current and Pro- jected Tourist Demand for Saltwater Recreational Fisheries in FL"; "Recre- ational Anglers' Valuation of Near- Shore Marine Fisheries in Florida"; "The Impacts of Florida Net Ban on Com- mercial Fisheries' Perceptions of Marine Reserves for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary".	Dr. Jim Cato, Director: jcato@ifas.ufl.edu, (352) 392-5870; www.flseagrant.org/			
United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) – Caribbean Regional Coordinating Unit (CAR/RCU) – Caribbean Environment Program (CEP)		The Caribbean Environment Programme (CEP) is facilitated by the Caribbean Re- gional Co-coordinating Unit (CAR/RCU) located in Kingston, Jamaica. CAR/RCU does not conduct research itself, but serves as a focus for the collection, review and dissemination of studies, publications and the results of work performed under the aegis of CEP. Some of the issues include: Coastal Zone Management, Maintenance of Biological Diversity, Land Based Sources of Marine Pollution, Coral Reef Management, Sus- tainable Tourism Initiatives, and Envi- ronmental Education and Awareness.	www.cep.unep.org/			
United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) – Carib- bean Regional Coordinating Unit (CAR/RCU) – Caribbean Environment Program (CEP)		CAR/RCU does not conduct research itself, but serves as a focus for the col- lection, review and dissemination of studies, publications and the results of work performed under the aegis of CEP.	www.cep.unep.org/			
United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) – World Conservation Monitoring Center (WCMC) Program on Protected Areas (PPA)		The UNEP – WCMC was established in 2000 as the world biodiversity informa- tion and assessment center of the Unit- ed Nations Environment Program. The UNEP – WCMC Program on Protected Areas locates and compiles information on the protected areas of the world.	http://www.unep-wcmc.org/ index.html			

Research Institutions and Information Resources					
Institution	Program	Description and/or Mission	Contact		
University of Miami	Caribbean Marine Cul- tural Resource Initiative	Long term plan to address the incorpo- ration of submerged cultural resources into coastal zone management plans.	Dr. John Gifford: jgifford@rsmas.miami.edu, (305) 361-4191; www.rsmas.miami.edu/groups/ cmcri.html		
University of Puerto Rico at Mayaguez	Center for Applied Social Research	Carries out interdisciplinary research for natural resource management, disaster management, etc., in the fields of anthropology, biology, business admin- istration, engineering, marine science, nursing, political science, psychology and sociology.	Dr. Douglas Santos, Director, and Manuel Valdes Pizzini: (787) 265-5466, (787) 832-4040, x.2071, x.2108, x.2109; www.uprm.edu/socialsciences/ cisa/id36.htm		
University of the Virgin Islands	Center for Marine and Environmental Studies (CMES) of the RPSO	Composed of the Virgin Islands Marine Advisory Service (VIMAS), MacLean Ma- rine Science Center (MMSC), Environ- mental Research Unit (ERU), and Virgin Islands Environmental Resource Sta- tion (VIERS). Current research includes evaluating the effectiveness of MPAs for sustainable fisheries.	CMES: (340) 693-1380; http://marsci.uvi.edu		
University of the Virgin Islands	Eastern Caribbean Center (ECC) Research Institute	The Eastern Caribbean Center (ECC) is a resource organization that con- ducts research and associated training, technology transfer and information dis- semination, responsive to development issues in an evolving U.S. Virgin Islands and applicable to small island commu- nities. It conducts and sponsors research in the U.S. Virgin Islands and the rest of the Eastern Caribbean and disseminates information to enhance the contribution of scientific inquiry to human well being in the Caribbean region.	Dr. Henry H. Smith, Director: HSmith@UVI.EDU, (340) 693-1020; www.uvi.edu/ECC/ecc.htm		
World Conservation Union (IUCN) – World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA), supported by the IUCN's Pro- gram on Protected Areas (PPA)		WCPA's international mission is to promote the establishment and effective management of a worldwide represen- tative network of terrestrial and marine protected areas, as an integral contribu- tion to the IUCN mission.	http://www.iucn.org/themes/ wcpa/wcpa/paunit/programme. htm		

Appendix E. Regional Regulatory Framework

INTERNATIONAL OVERVIEW

	Regulatory Framework	
Title	Summary	Includes Social Science
Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, 1971	Intergovernmental treaty, which provides the framework for national action and international cooperation for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources. The Convention's mission is the conser- vation and wise use of all wetlands through local, regional and national actions and international cooperation, as a contribution towards achiev- ing sustainable development throughout the world.	
UNESCO's World Heritage Convention, 1972	The most significant feature of the Convention is its linking together into a single document the concepts of nature conservation and preservation of cultural sites. Nature and culture are complementary and cultural identity is strongly related to the natural environment in which it develops.	•
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973	Establishes a system of regulations and/or prohibitions in the trade of species, both plant and animal, or any specimen part thereof. See: Ap- pendix I of the Convention for species threatened with extinction as a result of trade; Appendix II of the Convention for species in which trade control is necessary for survival; Appendix III of the Convention for spe- cies subject to regulation in the host nation.	
United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 1982	The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea lays down a comprehensive regime of law and order in the world's oceans and seas, establishing rules governing all uses of the oceans and their resources. It enshrines the notion that all problems of ocean space are closely inter- related and need to be addressed as a whole.	
United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED), 1992	Agenda 21, adopted by UNCED, is a program of action to be implement- ed by governments, development agencies, United Nations organizations and independent sector groups in every area where human (economic) activity affects the environment.	•
 Agenda 21 Chapter 17 - Oceans and Coasts 	Agenda 21 sets out comprehensive strategies and programs to counter environmental degradation and promote sustainable development.	٠
Rio Declaration of Principles	The goal of this Declaration is to establish cooperation among member states to reach agreement on laws and principles promoting sustainable development. The Declaration addresses the following areas: natural resources; environmental impact of development; poverty; ecosystem protection; the sharing of scientific ideas; public participation/public access to information; implementation of legislation; economic policies, internalization of environmental costs and the 'polluter pays' principle; notification of pollution incidents; environmental impact statements; and indigenous cultures.	•
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	The objective of the CBD is to conserve biological diversity, promote the sustainable use of its components, and encourage equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources.	
• Framework Convention on Climate Change	The Convention's objective is to achieve the stabilization of production of greenhouse gasses. It sets out principles to achieve a greater understanding of global warming, and includes the sharing of research, the development of technology, and technology transfer.	

Regulatory Framework			
Title	Summary	Includes Social Science	
United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) – Global Program of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-based Activities (GPA), 1995	The GPA is designed to be a source of conceptual and practical guidance to be drawn upon by national and/or regional authorities for devising and implementing sustained action to prevent, reduce, control and/or eliminate marine degradation from land-based activities.	•	

REGIONAL OVERVIEW

Regulatory Framework			
Title	Summary	Includes Social Science	
Convention for the Protection and De- velopment of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region (Cartagena de Indias, 1983)	The Convention requires the adoption of measures aimed at preventing, reducing and controlling pollution. The Parties are also required to take appropriate measures to protect and preserve rare or fragile ecosystems, as well as the habitat of depleted, threatened or endangered species and to develop technical and other guidelines for the planning and environ- mental impact assessments of important development projects in order to prevent or reduce harmful impacts on the area of application.		
 Protocol Concerning Co-opera- tion in Combating Oil Spills in the Wider Caribbean Region (Cartagena de Indias, 1983) 			
• The Protocol Concerning Special- ly Protected Areas and Wildlife in the Wider Caribbean Region (Kingston, Jamaica, 1990)			
• The Protocol Concerning Pollu- tion from Land-based Sources and Activities in the Wider Ca- ribbean Region			
United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) – Caribbean Regional Coor- dinating Unit (CAR/RCU) – Caribbean Environment Program (CEP)	CAR/RCU does not conduct research itself, but serves as a focus for the collection, review and dissemination of studies, publications and the results of work performed under the aegis of CEP.		

NATIONAL OVERVIEW

Regulatory Framework		
Title	Summary	Includes Social Science
National Environmental Policy Act of 1969	The purposes of this Act are: to declare a national policy that will encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment; to promote efforts that will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of man; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the Nation; and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality.	•
Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972	The Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) regulates the ocean dumping of waste, provides for a research program on ocean dumping, and provides for the designation and regulation of marine sanctuaries. Often known as the Ocean Dumping Act, the Act regulates the ocean dumping of all material beyond the territorial limit (three miles from shore) and prevents or strictly limits dumping material that "would adversely affect human health, welfare, or amenities, or the marine envi- ronment, ecological systems, or economic potentialities." The regulating agencies are the EPA (permitting and setting of environmental criteria) and USACE (dumping of dredged materials).	•
National Marine Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (Also known as Title III of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act)	Allows the regulating agency to designate and manage areas of the ma- rine environment with special national significance due to their conserva- tion, recreational, ecological, historical, scientific, cultural, archeological, educational or esthetic qualities as National Marine Sanctuaries. The primary objective of this Act is to protect marine resources, such as coral reefs, sunken historical vessels or unique habitats. The regulating agency is NOAA (Department of Commerce).	
Clean Water Act of 1972	Established the basic structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into the waters of the United States, and deals primarily with surface water quality protection. The regulating agency is the EPA.	
Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972	Established a voluntary national program within the Department of Com- merce to encourage coastal states to develop and implement coastal zone management plans. Funds were authorized for cost-sharing grants to states to develop their programs. Subsequent to federal approval of their plans, grants would be awarded for implementation purposes. The regulating agency is NOAA (Department of Commerce).	
Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972	The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) was enacted in 1972 to protect and manage marine mammals and their products (e.g., the use of hides and meat). The regulating agencies are the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS; Department of the Interior), and NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS; Department of Commerce). The FWS man- ages walruses, polar bears, sea otters, dugongs, marine otters and West Indian, Amazonian and West African manatees. The NMFS manages whales, porpoises, seals and sea lions.	
Endangered Species Act of 1973	The purpose of this Act is to protect endangered and threatened species and to provide the means to conserve their ecosystems. The regulating agencies are the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS; Department of the Interior), and NO- AA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS; Department of Commerce).	

Regulatory Framework			
Title	Summary	Includes Social Science	
Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conserva- tion and Management Act of 1976	This Act governs the conservation and management of ocean fishing. It establishes exclusive U.S. management authority over all fishing within the exclusive economic zone (EEZ), all anadromous fish throughout their migratory range except when in a foreign nation's waters, and all fish on the Continental Shelf. The Act also establishes eight Regional Fishery Man- agement Councils responsible for the preparation of fishery management plans to achieve the optimum yield from U.S. fisheries in their regions. The Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act is now the Magnu- son-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and is also known as the Sustainable Fisheries Act. The regulating agency is NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS; Department of Commerce).		

LOCAL OVERVIEW

Regulatory Framework	Regulatory Framework		
South Florida	Includes Social Science		
Title XXIX of the Florida Statutes on Public Health. Environmental Protection Act of 1971. Chapter 403.804 Environ- mental Regulation Commission; powers and duties: "The commission, in exercising its authority, shall consider scientific and technical validity, economic impacts, and relative risks and benefits to the public and the environment. The department [of Environmental Protection] shall have a study conducted of the economic and environmental impact which sets forth the benefits and costs to the public of any proposed standard that would be stricter or more stringent than one which has been set by federal agencies pursuant to federal law or regulation."	•		
Title XVIII of the Florida Statutes on Public Lands and Property. Chapter 258, Part II (Also known as Florida Aquatic Preserve Act of 1975) refers to Aquatic Preserves and suggests that submerged lands with exceptional biological, aesthetic and scientific value be set-aside as preserves or sanctuaries for the benefit of future generations.			
Title XXVIII of the Florida Statutes on Natural Resources; Conservation; Reclamation; and Use (The lead regulating agency is the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). Chapter 370.025 refers to Marine Fisheries: Policies and Standards and states: "Conservation and management measures shall be based upon the best information available, including biological, sociological, economic, and other information deemed relevant by the commission."			
Puerto Rico	Includes Social Science		
Puerto Rico does not have specific laws for the protection of marine areas; however, the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection (DNREP) has the responsibility, as the leading regulatory agency, to put forth a series of regulations to protect such areas as Commonwealth Forests, Wildlife Refuges and Natural Reserves. Some of these regulations are based on laws that indirectly offer some protections, such as the Forestry Law, which prohibits cutting of mangroves and other coastal flora. The DNREP is the main agency from the Government of			

Regulatory Framework		
U.S. Virgin Islands	Includes Social Science	
The Department of Planning and Natural Resources' Department of Environmental Protection (DPNR/DEP) is the lead agency for the USVI Coastal Zone Management Program (CZM), and is responsible for environmental protection and the enforcement of environmental laws and regulations in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Together with the Department of Housing Parks and Recreation (DHP&R) and the federal government, the DPNR works on developing management plans for protected areas. A variety of regulations exist pertaining to the use of areas within the national park system, most of which are aimed at providing a safe environment for visitors and protecting natural and cultural resources. The Government of the Virgin Islands works closely with the federal government in the development of management plans for its various types of protected areas.		
The mandates of the Division of Environmental Protection are to protect and conserve the natural resources of the Government of the U.S. Virgin Islands: air, water and land, upon which life depends; and the health, comfort and repose of the public. These mandates are codified in twelve Virgin Islands Code (VIC) Chapters: 5: Ground Water; 7: Water Pollution Control; 9: Air Pollution Control; 17: Oil Spill Prevention; and 19: Pesticides Control. Additional mandates are codified in VIC chapter 51: Safe Drinking Water and 56: Solid & Hazardous Waste.		